

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING
COMMISSION,
Plaintiff,

Case No. 8:19-CV-886-T-33SPF

v.

OASIS INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
LIMITED ET AL.,
Defendants.

STEPHEN PREZIOSI'S INITIAL OBJECTION TO PRE-HEARING
DISCLOSURES ON TRANSCRIPTS FILED JULY 9, 2026

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 (a)(3)(B) *Time for Pretrial Disclosures; Objections*. The receiver has filed a number of transcripts to YouTube videos on July 9, 2026, seven days before the scheduled July 15 hearing. First, the statute FRCP 26(a)(3)(B) clearly states that these disclosures must be made at least 30 days before trial and then within 14 days the opposing party may make objections.


The receiver has not timely filed these disclosures in accordance with the statute and given me sufficient time to file objections to the transcripts. Additionally, the receiver has had the video transcribed without providing me with the actual links to the video so that I can observe the actual evidence and make the appropriate objections. I have not seen these videos and I believe it is only fair that if the receiver intends — if the Court allows — to put these videos into evidence as substantive

evidence because the receiver states that he *intends to be presented at the hearing, other than solely for impeachment purposes*, meaning that he intends to present this as substantive evidence.

I read through the transcripts that the receiver provided and there are many factual inaccuracies asserted in the transcripts, which are attributed to Mr. McKee and I wish to contest not only the admissibility of the videos and the transcripts because the Receiver has not complied with the time disclosure requirements of FRCP Rule 26, but also because of the factual inaccuracies. Late disclosures are always prejudicial as now, at the 11th hour, the receiver wishes to spring new undisclosed evidence on me. Furthermore, the receiver has not even fully disclosed this evidence as he has not supplied me with the actual videos that he intends to introduce into evidence.

Therefore, I ask that the Court 1) deny the receiver's request to admit these videos and/or the transcripts as substantive or impeachment type evidence because they were not timely or fully disclosed to me; 2) if the Court is inclined to permit the admittance of these untimely supplied videos and/or transcripts into evidence that I be supplied with the actual videos as I have not seen them and that I have sufficient time, as designated by the statute, to make objections. The statute, FRCP 26 (a)(3)(B), designates 14 days for the submission of objections to any such disclosures.

July 9, 2026
New York, New York

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Stephen N. Preziosi". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underneath it.

Stephen N. Preziosi, Esq.
48 Wall Street, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10005
212-960-8267

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on July 9, 2026, I served a copy of the foregoing via email and through the ECF system on the following parties:

Jared Perez
Jared.perez@jaredperezlaw.com
301 Druid Rd.
Clearwater, Florida 33756

And

Burton Wiand
burt@burtonwwiandpa.com
114 Turner st.
Clearwater, Florida 33756