United States District Court	3030 SED 3
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA	
TAMPA DIVISION	10 10 10

BURTON W. WIAND, as Receiver for OASIS INTERNATIONAL GROUP, LTD.; OASIS MANAGEMENT, LLC; AND SATELLITE HOLDINGS COMPANY,	) ) ) Case No. 8:20-cv-00862
Plaintiff, v.	)
CHRIS & SHELLEY ARDUINI	)
Defendants.	)

## By Special Appearance Notice of Joinder to Motion to Dismiss by Defendant Alan Johnston (Dkt. 416)

COMES NOW by Special Appearance, Chris & Shelley Arduini, as Defendants pro per in the instant case and notices this honorable Court that they Join Defendant Alan Johnston's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 416), which is hereby incorporated in its entirety by reference) for one or more of the following reasons (1) Insufficient Process; (2) Lack of Personal Jurisdiction; (3) Failure to Join an Indispensable Party, and; (4) Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief May Be Granted.

- 1. The Plaintiff was statutorily divested of his conditional authority to issue summons to Defendants by failing to adhere to the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 754.
- 2. The Summons issued to Defendants constituted insufficient process because Plaintiff failed to adhere to the 10-day filing requirements in the respective district court pertaining to the Arduinis.

- 3. The statutory time limit began at the Receiver's permanent appointment on April 30, 2019 (Dkt. 44). (Fed. R. Civ. Pro. Rule 12(b)(4)).
- 4. The Court may gain jurisdiction over Defendants through sufficient process or, in the alternative, voluntary appearance by Defendants.
- Defendants have not voluntarily appeared nor has Plaintiff provided sufficient process according to the law and, therefore, this Court lacks personal jurisdiction over Defendants.
- 6. Plaintiff failed to state the elements of his Claim as required under Florida's Uniform

  Transfer Act or its alternative, unjust enrichment, with citations to legal authority to

  provide a well-pleaded complaint against Defendants.
- 7. Plaintiff's claims fail to identify which parts of §726 of the cited Florida law pertain to Defendants and there is no material evidence in the record to substantiate claims against Defendants. (FRCP Rule 12(b)(6)).
- 8. Judgment against Defendants may prejudice the interests of insiders not joined in violation of Rule 19. Absent joinder of certain indispensable parties, judgment against Defendants may result in exposure to risk of a double or otherwise inconsistent liability.
- Defendants, therefore, moves the Court to Dismiss the Complaint for one or more of
  the following reasons (1) Insufficient Process; (2) Lack of Personal Jurisdiction;
   (3) Failure to Join an Indispensable Party, and; (4) Failure to State a Claim Upon
  Which Relief May Be Granted.

Chris Arduini Defendant Date: 9/22/2020

Shelley Arduini) Defendant 169 Allen Height Road St

Johnsville, NY 13452

Email: carduini@frontiernet.net

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I filed a copy of the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Federal District Court of Middle Florida, Tampa Division, and sent a copy to:

Englander Fischer Att: Beatriz McConnell bmcconnell@eflegal.com 721 First Avenue North

St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 P: 727.898.7210 | F: 727.898.7218

Chris Arduini

Date: 9-22-2020



FL 336 9-03

## AY AIR SAVER

#: 1Z M8N 0T1 A4 3061 7620



BILLING: P/P ADULT SIGNATURE REQUIRED-MIN 21

NV45 31.0A 07/2020\* XOL 20.08.05

SAM M GIBBONS COURTHOUSE SOTN FLORIDA AVE TAMPAFL 33602

9/22/20, 1:32 PM