UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

CASE NO: 20-00862-VMC-TGW

BURTON W. WIAND, AS RECEIVER FOR OASIS INTERNATIONAL GROUP, LTD.,)	
Oasis Management, LLC; and)	
SATELLITE HOLDING COMPANY,)	
Plaintiff.)	
)	5.40
v.)	
)	
CHRIS AND SHELLEY ARDUINI, ET. AL.,)	
DEFENDANTS.)	
	j	
	= ,	÷ , <u>-</u>
		358 N
NOTICE OF JOINDER		

NOTICE OF JOINDER

To

"BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE: DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF FILING SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE TO QUASH SUMMONS AND OBJECT TO JURISDICTION"

- Vince Petralis, Sr., Defendant pro per, joins Alan Johnston's "NOTICE OF FILING SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE TO QUASH SUMMONS AND OBJECT TO JURISDICTION"
 ("Notice") for the same reasons to which Mr. Johnston's Notice refers:
- 2. Defendant is pro per and is not represented by Mr. Winters. See Exhibit B, Mr. Johnston's Notice.
- 3. Defendant isn't raising a new argument but is filing evidence that was not available to him at the time he filed his Motion to Quash Summons and Objection to Jurisdiction.

However, this Court has held that where a party's notice of filing supplemental authority does not raise a new argument, but "merely provides a recent case in support of the arguments already raised [in a] motion[,]" then it does not fall within the requirements of Rule 3.01(c). Wuenstel v. Liberty Mut. Fire Ins. Co., Case No: 5:12-CV-422-Oc-10PRL, at *1 (M.D. Fla. Feb. 5, 2013)

[Such] supplemental filings should direct the Court's attention to legal authority or **evidence** that was not available to the filing party at the time that that party filed the original brief to which the subsequent supplemental filing pertains. (emphasis added).

Girard v. Aztec RV Resort, Inc., No. 10-62298-CIV-ZLOCH/ROSENBAUM, at *4 (S.D. Fla. Sep. 16, 2011).

- 4. Englander-Fischer has known since at least Friday, July 31, 2020 NOT to contact Mr. Winters on behalf of Defendant because Mr. Winters does not represent Defendant in this case.
- 5. In two filings: (1) Motion for Extension of Time to Respond and (2) Omnibus
 Response to Motion to Quash Summons, Englander-Fischer refers to Defendant as
 pro se over 90 times admitting that Defendant is not represented by an attorney.
- 6. Englander-Fischer did not inform the Court that they knew Mr. Winters does not represent Defendant.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court to consider the Supplemental Evidence provided when taking Defendant's *Motion by Special Appearance to Quash Summons and Object to Jurisdiction* into consideration.

Respectfully Submitted,

Vince Petralis, Sr.

6 Adeane Drive West

Rochester, New York 14624

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I filed a copy of the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Federal District Court of Middle Florida, Tampa Division, and sent a copy to:

Englander Fischer

Att: Beatriz McConnell bmcconnell@eflegal.com

721 First Avenue North

St. Petersburg, Florida 33701

P: 727.898.7210 | F: 727.898.7218

Vince Petralis

Date:



Sam M. Gibbons United States Courthouse Att: Clerk of the Court 801 North Florida Avenue Tampa, Florida 33602 SHARON PETRALIS (585) 247-4713 (THE UPS STORE #0363 2117 BUFFALO RD ROCHESTER NY 14624-1599

1 LBS 1 OF 1 SHP WT: 1 LBS DATE: 27 AUG 2020

SHIP CLERK OF THE COURT TO: SAM M. GIBBONS U.S.A. COURTHOUSE 801 N FLORIDA AVE



33602-3849 FL 336 9-03

UPS GROUND

TRACKING #: 1Z R09 E99 03 7838 1166



BILLING: P/P

ISH 13.00H ZZP 450 31.5U 07/2020



experience. Descript Course to be seen a possible to the Communities, including an authorize water departed from the 65 is accordance with the Experience for the confidence with the Experience for the confidence with the Experience for the Course for the Course



000