## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

BURTON W. WIAND, as Receiver for OASIS INTERNATIONAL GROUP, LTD.; OASIS MANAGEMENT, LLC; AND SATELLITE HOLDINGS COMPANY,

			•
и	2111	ıtiff	6
			-

v.	Case No: 8:20-cv-00862-VMC-TGW
CHRIS AND SHELLEY ARDUINI, et al.,	
Defendants.	

## RECEIVER'S SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 3.019(g) RELATED TO RECEIVER'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND REQUEST FOR STATUS CONFERENCE [DOC. 293]

In accordance with Local Rule 3.01(g), the undersigned hereby certifies that Receiver's counsel has conferred with Pro Se Defendants, Richard Hubbard, Courtney Hubbard, Kevin Kerrigan, Kerrigan Management, Inc., Kevin Johnson, Chad Hicks, Vince Petralis, Sr., Vince Petralis Jr., Patrick Flander, Frank Nagel, Chris Anduini, Timothy Hunte, Black Dragon Capital c/o Michael Obay, Alan Johnston, and David Paul Lipinczyk, regarding the Receiver's Motion for Extension of Time and Request for Status Conference ("Motion") (Doc. 293). The Pro Se Defendants responded with identical oppositions to the Motion, which are attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "1."

Dated July 31, 2020. Respectfully submitted,

ENGLANDER FISCHER

/s/ Beatriz McConnell
JOHN W. WAECHTER
Florida Bar No. 47151

Primary: jwaechter@eflegal.com Secondary: dturner@eflegal.com COURTNEY L. FERNALD Florida Bar No. 52669

Florida Bar Certified, Appellate Practice

Primary: <a href="mailto:cfernald@eflegal.com">cfernald@eflegal.com</a>
Secondary: <a href="mailto:tdillon@eflegal.com">tdillon@eflegal.com</a>
BEATRIZ MCCONNELL

Florida Bar No. 42119

Primary: <a href="mailto:bmcconnell@eflegal.com">bmcconnell@eflegal.com</a></a>
Secondary: <a href="mailto:tdillon@eflegal.com">tdillon@eflegal.com</a>

ALICIA GANGI

Florida Bar No. 1002753
Primary: agangi@eflegal.com
Secondary: tdillon@eflegal.com
ENGLANDER and FISCHER LLP

721 First Avenue North

St. Petersburg, Florida 33731-1954 (727) 898-7210 / Fax (727) 898-7218

Attorneys for Receiver

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system and will send copies by U.S mail and email as indicated to the following:

Via Mail: Chris Arduini 169 Allen Height Road St Johnsville, NY 13452

PRO SE

Via Mail: Offer Attia 217 Forest Ave New Rochelle, NY 10804 914/632-5511 PRO SE

Betsy Doolin

6662 La Mirada Drive East, Unit 2

Jacksonville, FL 32217

Via Mail: Shelley Arduini 169 Allen Height Road St Johnsville, NY 13452

PRO SE

Via Mail:

Black Dragon Capital, LLC

c/o Michael Obay 450 Leverett Avenue Staten Island, NY 10308

**PRO SE** 

Via Mail: Patrick Flander

1096 Youkers Bush Road

PRO SE Saint Johnsville, NY 13452

PRO SE
Via Mail:
Henry Fuksman
862 Fassett Road
Elmira, NY 14905

PRO SE
Via Mail:
Anna Fuksman
862 Fassett Road
Elmira, NY 14905

PRO SE PRO SE

Via Mail: Via Mail: Elmore Runee Harris Chad Hicks

5 Whitney Drive 3210 Vermont Road Greenwich, CT 06831 Carterville, IL 62918

PRO SE PRO SE

Via Mail: Via Mail:

Richard Hubbard

412 Woodbury Drive

Wyckoff, NJ 07481

Courtney Hubbard

412 Woodbury Drive

Wyckoff, NJ 07481

Wyckoff, NJ 07481

PRO SE PRO SE

Via Mail:

Timothy Hunte

2155 Rainlily Drive

Center Valley, PA 18034

Via Mail:

Alan Johnston

2020 Holly Leaf Drive

Tyler, TX 75703

PRO SE PRO SE

Via Mail: Via Mail:

Kevin Kerrigan Kerrigan Management, Inc. 14 Fieldstone Road c/o Kevin Kerrigan

Putnam Valley, NY 10579 14 Fieldstone Road PRO SE Putnam Valley, NY 10579

PRO SE
Putnam Valley, NY 10579
PRO SE

Via Mail: Via Mail:

Life's Elements, Inc.

c/o Kevin Johnson

6336 Redman Road
Brockport, NY 14420

Medford, NY 11763 PRO SE

PRO SE

Via Mail: Via Mail:

Vince Petralis, Jr. Vince Petralis (Sr.)
5162 W Ridge Blvd 6 Adeane Drive West
Spencerport, NY 14559 Rochester, NY 14624

PRO SE PRO SE

Via Mail: Frank Nagel 10 Kyle Park Carmel, NY 10512 PRO SE Via Email and Mail, courtesy copy: Brent Allan Winters 5105 South Highway 41 Terre Haute, Indian 47802 (317) 515-7695 brentallanwinters@nym.hush.com

/s/ Beatriz McConnell
Attorney for Plaintiff

From: Richard C. Hubbard, Jr. < wineandguns@yahoo.com >

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 3:24 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com>

**Subject:** wineandguns@yahoo.com

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of \_\_\_\_\_\_. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons." Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Richard C Hubbard, Jr. & Courtney E. Hubbard

From: Kevin Kerrigan < irishkevin55@gmail.com >

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 3:23 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

Subject: Correction

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of July 30, 2020. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Kevin Kerrigan

From: Kevin Kerrigan < <a href="mailto:kerriganmanagementinc@gmail.com">kerriganmanagementinc@gmail.com</a>>

Sent: Friday, July 31, 2020 3:20 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

**Subject:** Correction

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of July 30, 2020. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Best regards, Kevin

KMI

Kevin Kerrigan President Cell: (914) 906-2356

Email: kerriganmanagementinc@gmail.c

From: Kevin Kerrigan < <a href="mailto:kerriganmanagementinc@gmail.com">kerriganmanagementinc@gmail.com</a>>

Sent: Friday, July 31, 2020 3:08 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com>

Subject:

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of kerriganmanagementinc. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Best regards, Kevin

KMI

Kevin Kerrigan President Cell: (914) 906-2356

Email: kerriganmanagementinc@gmail.com

From: Kevin Kerrigan < irishkevin55@gmail.com >

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 3:00 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

Subject: Fwd:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kevin Kerrigan** < <u>irishkevin55@gmail.com</u>>

Date: Fri, Jul 31, 2020 at 2:57 PM

Subject: Fwd:

To: < kerriganmangementinc@gmmail.com>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kevin Kerrigan** <irishkevin55@gmail.com>

Date: Fri, Jul 31, 2020 at 2:55 PM

Subject:

To: <jwaechter@eflegal.com>

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of Kerriganmanagementinc. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Thank you Kevin Kerrigan From: Kevin Kerrigan < <u>irishkevin55@gmail.com</u>>

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 2:56 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

Subject:

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of Kevin Kerrigan. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Thank you Kevin Kerrigan From: Kevin Johnson < <a href="mailto:energybizzny@gmail.com">energybizzny@gmail.com</a>>

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 2:52 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

**Subject:** Quash Summons

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of \_\_\_\_\_\_. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Sincerely, Kevin Johnson



**From:** Chad Hicks < <a href="mailto:cahicks09@yahoo.com">cahicks09@yahoo.com</a>>

Sent: Friday, July 31, 2020 2:55 PM

To: John Waechter < <a href="mailto:jwaechter@eflegal.com">jwaechter@eflegal.com</a>>

**Subject:** Motion to quash

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" <a href="before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020">before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020</a>, as per your email request of \_\_\_\_\_07/30/2020\_\_\_\_\_. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons." Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Chad Hicks.

Sent from my iPhone

From: SHARON PETRALIS < <a href="mailto:spetralis2001@yahoo.com">spetralis2001@yahoo.com</a>>

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 3:09 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

Subject: OASIS

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of \_\_\_\_\_\_. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons." Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Vince Petralis SR.

**From:** Vince Petralis < <u>vincepetralis@gmail.com</u>>

Sent: Friday, July 31, 2020 2:59 PM

To: John Waechter < <u>jwaechter@eflegal.com</u>>

Subject:

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of . I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

From: Patrick Flander < topnotchdj@hotmail.com >

Sent: Friday, July 31, 2020 3:04 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

Subject:

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of \_\_\_\_\_\_. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Patrick Flander

Get Outlook for Android

From: <a href="mailto:francisnkaren@aol.com">francisnkaren@aol.com</a>>

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 3:02 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

Subject: Frank Nagel

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of July, 30 2020. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

From: <arduini@frontiernet.net <arduini@frontiernet.net>

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 3:02 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

**Subject:** Motion to extend

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of \_\_\_\_\_\_. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons." Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Chris Arduini

carduini@frontiernet.net

From: Tim Hunte < timhunte@yahoo.com >

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 3:01 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

Subject: Motion for extension of time and status conference

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request on July 30th, 2020. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons." Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Tim Hunte

From: Michael Obay < <a href="mailto:obaymichael@yahoo.com">obaymichael@yahoo.com</a>>

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 2:58 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

Subject:

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of July.30th, 2020. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons." Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

Michael Obay

From: Alan Johnston < <a href="mailto:coachbigal@yahoo.com">coachbigal@yahoo.com</a>>

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 2:54 PM

To: John Waechter < jwaechter@eflegal.com >

Subject: Motion for extension of time and status conference

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of July 30. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out. Alan Johnston

**From:** Dave Lipinczyk < <a href="mailto:dlipinczyk@aol.com">dlipinczyk@aol.com</a>>

**Sent:** Friday, July 31, 2020 2:54 PM

**To:** John Waechter < <u>jwaechter@eflegal.com</u>> **Subject:** Response to your email request

I hereby send my opposition to your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons" before 3:00 PM, 31 July 2020, as per your email request of 30 July 2020. I oppose your "Motion to Extend Time to Answer my Motion to Quash Summons."

Moreover, it is improper for me to attend a status hearing since the Receiver has failed to obtain jurisdiction regarding me and my property. The Receiver neglected his duty to follow the law of Receivers specified in 28 USC §§ 754 and 1692, which my "Motion to Quash" points out.

David P. Lipinczyk