

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION**

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING
COMMISSION,

Case No. 8:19-CV-886-T-33SPF

Plaintiff,

v.

OASIS INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
LIMITED; OASIS MANAGEMENT, LLC;
SATELLITE HOLDINGS COMPANY;
MICHAEL J. DACORTA; JOSEPH S.
ANILE, II.; RAYMOND P. MONTIE III;
FRANCISCO "FRANK" L. DURAN; and
JOHN J. HAAS,

Defendants;

and

FUNDADMINISTRATION, INC.;
BOWLING GREEN CAPITAL
MANAGEMENT LLC; LAGOON
INVESTMENTS, INC.; ROAR OF THE
LION FITNESS, LLC; 444 GULF OF
MEXICO DRIVE, LLC; 4064 FOUNDERS
CLUB DRIVE, LLC; 6922 LACANTERA
CIRCLE, LLC; 13318 LOST KEY PLACE,
LLC; and 4 OAKS LLC,

Relief Defendants.

_____ /

THE RECEIVER'S FOURTEENTH INTERIM REPORT

Information and Activity from July 1, 2022 through September 30, 2022.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	4
I. Procedure and Chronology	4
II. Overview of the Receiver’s Findings.....	9
ACTIONS TAKEN BY RECEIVER	12
III. Securing The Receivership Estate	13
A. Cooperation with the Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and U.S. Marshals Service	14
B. Freezing Bank Accounts and Liquid Assets	15
1. The ATC Account in the United Kingdom	16
2. Financial Assets in Belize	17
C. Securing Real Property	18
1. All Receivership Real Estate Has Been Sold.....	18
2. Defendant Montie’s Real Property.....	19
3. Defendant Haas’s Real Property	20
D. Securing Personal Property	20
1. Vehicles	20
2. Cash and Precious Metals	21
3. Other Personal Property.....	21
E. Securing the Receivership Entities’ Books and Records	22
F. Operating or Related Businesses.....	23
IV. Retention of Professionals	24
V. Pending and Contemplated Litigation	25
1. Completed and Related Litigation	26

2. Pending and Related Litigation27

3. Contemplated Litigation 34

VI. Claims Process.....36

VII. The Next Ninety Days 40

CONCLUSION 41

INTRODUCTION

Burton W. Wiand, the Court-appointed receiver over the assets of the above-captioned defendants and relief defendants (the “**Receiver**” and the “**Receivership**” or “**Receivership Estate**”), files this Fourteenth Interim Report to inform the Court, investors, creditors, and others interested in this Receivership of activities to date as well as the Receiver’s proposed course of action. The Receiver has established a website, www.oasisreceivership.com, which he updates periodically. The Receiver will continue to update the website regarding the Receiver’s most significant actions, important Court filings, and other items that might be of interest to the public. This Fourteenth Interim Report, as well as all other reports, will be posted on the website.¹

Overview of Significant Activities During this Reporting Period

On October 20, 2022, the Honorable William F. Jung sentenced Michael DaCorta to imprisonment of **276 months (i.e., 23 years)** for his role in the Ponzi scheme underlying this enforcement action. Judge Jung also ordered DaCorta to pay restitution in the amount of **\$53,270,336.08**, jointly and severally with defendant Joseph S. Anile, II (although the Receiver has already recovered and sold both individuals’ material assets).

¹ As directed by the Court, the Receiver will submit his next interim report and subsequent reports within thirty days after the end of each calendar quarter. Where possible, the Receiver has also included information about events occurring between September 30, 2022 (the end of the reporting period) and the date of this filing.

In December 2019 and February 2021, a federal grand jury indicted DaCorta on one count of conspiracy to commit wire fraud and mail fraud, one count of engaging in an illegal monetary transaction (*i.e.*, money laundering), and one count of making a false and fraudulent statement on an income tax return. DaCorta's trial commenced on April 18, 2022, and the jury began deliberations on May 4, 2022. After more than two weeks of testimony and argument, the jury required less than four hours to find DaCorta guilty on all counts.² Judge Jung described the Oasis Ponzi scheme as “a very good con.” He noted that victim-investors lost their money and tended to blame themselves for getting involved. “Their self-esteem was stolen,” the judge said. Before imposing the sentence, the judge heard from two of the victims, a couple whose initials are P.K. and K.K. She stated that her family was “emotionally drained” by the loss of money meant for their children's marriages and educations. He called DaCorta “a parasite on society.” DaCorta is appealing his conviction, but after the sentencing hearing on October 20, 2022, the United States Marshals Service immediately took DaCorta into custody, and he is currently in prison.

During the time covered by this Fourteenth Interim Report, the Receiver and his professionals also engaged in the following significant activities:

² Judge Jung gave DaCorta the statutory maximum sentences on the conspiracy and money laundering counts – 20 years and 10 years, respectively – and ordered that the sentences run concurrently. DaCorta also received a three-year sentence on the income tax count, to be served after the 20-year sentence. Subsequent to his 23-year sentence, DaCorta will be subject to three years of supervised release.

- Concluded the analysis of 9 objections, approximately 460 [Personal Verification Forms](#), and other documents necessary to finalize the claims process and to initiate distributions of recovered funds to claimants with approved claims (*see infra* § VI);
- Drafted and substantially completed a motion for approval of a first interim distribution of approximately **\$10 million** to claimants with approved claims (*see id.*);
- Continued efforts with respect to a petition for remission, seeking the return of approximately **\$7.8 million** from the Department of Justice in connection with civil and criminal asset forfeitures for distribution through the claims process (*see infra* § III.A.);
- Collected **\$11,766.28** in interest income on seized funds (*see* Ex. A);
- Collected litigation income of **\$16,953.33** through clawback settlements and the enforcement of default judgments (*see id.*);
- Continued efforts to repatriate **\$500,000** from Belize in cooperation with local counsel and to obtain remission of approximately **\$2 million** (through the above-referenced petition) that the Department of Justice repatriated from the United Kingdom (*see infra* § III.B.);
- Resumed prosecution of a lawsuit against defendant Raymond P. Montie III, seeking tort damages and the recovery of fraudulent transfers (*see infra* § V.2.c.); and
- Filed a notice of appeal regarding an order dismissing a second lawsuit against ATC Brokers Ltd., David Manoukian, and Spotex, LLC, seeking compensatory and punitive damages and alleging claims for aiding and abetting fraud, aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duties, recovery of fraudulent transfers against ATC, gross negligence, and simple negligence (*see infra* § V.2.d.).

Overview of Activities Since the Beginning of this Receivership

Since the beginning of this Receivership, the Receiver and his professionals have engaged in the following significant activities:

- Served subpoenas or the order appointing the Receiver and freezing the assets of the defendants and relief defendants on approximately **100**

individuals and entities who could have assets or records belonging to the Receivership Estate;

- Seized more than **\$8.66 million** from frozen bank accounts at numerous financial institutions;
- Generated **\$53,335.13** in business income, primarily from mortgages and rentals;
- Liquidated an additional approximately **\$7,892,523.41** (net) in assets, mostly subject to agreements with the Department of Justice and the United States Marshals Service;
- Collected **\$206,005.32** in interest and/or dividend income;
- Collected total litigation income of **\$5,098,482.21** through clawback and other third-party settlements;
- Collected other miscellaneous income of **\$143,854.01**;
- Retained legal counsel (domestic and foreign), forensic accountants, tax accountants, a technology services firm, and an asset manager to assist the Receiver and obtained Court approval of those engagements;
- Completed forensic reconstructions of at least 25 bank accounts, including more than 26,000 individual transactions;
- Interviewed dozens of individuals, including certain defendants, employees, sales agents, investors, legal counsel, and accountants;
- Established a website for investors and other interested parties;
- Collected hundreds of thousands of pages of documents from dozens of nonparties, including employees, banks, credit card companies, accountants, and lawyers; and
- Fielded hundreds of calls from investors and/or their counsel.

Finally, although the Receiver and his professionals are not responsible for criminal prosecutions, on November 18, 2020, defendant Joseph S. Anile, II was sentenced to imprisonment of **120 months** (*i.e.*, 10 years) and supervised release of three years. As referenced above, he was also ordered to pay restitution of

\$53,270,336.08. The sentence was based on his plea of guilty to multiple felony counts underlying this Ponzi scheme. Anile reported to prison on June 1, 2022, in Rochester, Minnesota. The above activities are discussed in more detail in the pertinent sections of this Fourteenth Interim Report and in the Receiver’s previous interim reports.

BACKGROUND

I. Procedure and Chronology

On April 15, 2019, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“**CFTC**”) filed a complaint (Doc. 1) against (1) defendants Oasis International Group, Limited (“**OIG**”); Oasis Management, LLC (“**Oasis Management**”); Michael J. DaCorta (“**DaCorta**”); Joseph S. Anile, II (“**Anile**”); Francisco “Frank” L. Duran (“**Duran**”); Satellite Holdings Company (“**Satellite Holdings**”); John J. Haas (“**Haas**”); and Raymond P. Montie, III (“**Montie**”) (collectively, the “**defendants**”) and (2) relief defendants Fundadministration, Inc. (“**FAI**”); Bowling Green Capital Management, LLC (“**Bowling Green**”); Lagoon Investments, Inc. (“**Lagoon**”); Roar of the Lion Fitness, LLC (“**Roar of the Lion**”); 444 Gulf of Mexico Drive, LLC (“**444 Gulf of Mexico**”); 4064 Founders Club Drive, LLC (“**4064 Founders Club**”); 6922 Lacantera Circle, LLC (“**6922 Lacantera**”); 13318 Lost Key Place, LLC (“**13318 Lost Key**”); and 4Oaks LLC

(“**4Oaks**”) (collectively, the “**relief defendants**”). The foregoing defendants and relief defendants are referred to as the “**Receivership Entities**.”

The complaint charges the defendants with violations of the Commodity Exchange Act and CFTC regulations and seeks to enjoin their violations of these laws regarding a fraudulent foreign currency (“**forex**”) trading scheme. The CFTC alleges that between mid-April 2014 and April 2019, the defendants fraudulently solicited over 700 U.S. residents to invest in two forex commodity pools – Oasis Global FX, Limited and Oasis Global FX, S.A. (collectively, the “**Oasis Pools**”). The CFTC also asserts that the defendants raised approximately \$75 million from these investors and misappropriated over \$28 million of the pool funds to make payments to other pool participants and over \$18 million for unauthorized personal and business expenses, including the transfer of at least \$7 million to the relief defendants.³

On the same day the CFTC filed its complaint, April 15, 2019, the Court entered an order appointing Burton W. Wiand as temporary Receiver for the Receivership Entities (Doc. 7) (the “**SRO**”). The Court directed him, in relevant part, to “[t]ake exclusive custody, control, and possession of the Receivership Estate,” which includes “all the funds, properties, premises, accounts, income, now or hereafter due or owing to the Receivership Defendants, and other assets directly or indirectly owned, beneficially or otherwise, by the Receivership Defendants.”

³ On June 12, 2019, the CFTC filed an amended complaint (Doc. 110), which contains additional allegations about certain defendants and relief defendants.

See id. at p. 14, ¶ 32 & p. 15, ¶ 30.b. The SRO also imposed a temporary injunction against the defendants and relief defendants and froze their assets. *Id.* at 19.

Subsequently, all defendants and relief defendants either defaulted or consented to the entry of a preliminary injunction against them (with some differences unique to the circumstances of each party). *See* Docs. 35, 43, 44, 82, 85, 172, 174-77. On July 11, 2019, the Court entered a Consolidated Receivership Order, which is now the operative document governing the Receiver’s activities. Doc. 177 (the “**Consolidated Order**”).⁴ Pursuant to the Consolidated Order and its predecessors (*see* Docs. 7, 44), the Receiver has the duty and authority to (1) administer and manage the business affairs, funds, assets, and any other property of the Receivership Entities; (2) marshal and safeguard the assets of the Receivership Entities; and (3) investigate and institute legal proceedings for the benefit of the Receivership Entities and their investors and other creditors as the Receiver deems necessary.

On June 26, 2019, the Department of Justice, through the United States Attorney’s Office for the Middle District of Florida (the “**DOJ**”), moved to stay this litigation to protect an ongoing criminal investigation. Doc. 149. The Court granted the DOJ’s motion on July 12, 2019, but exempted the Receiver’s activities from the

⁴ On April 23, 2021, the Court reappointed the Receiver for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 754, but the order of reappointment attaches and incorporates the Consolidated Order by reference. *See* Doc. 390. As such, the provisions of the Consolidated Order continue to govern the Receiver’s mandate upon reappointment. *Id.*

stay. Doc. 179. The Court also required the DOJ to provide periodic status reports during the stay. *Id.*

As mentioned above, on August 8, 2019, defendant Anile pled guilty to three counts involving the scheme – (1) conspiracy to commit wire and mail fraud; (2) engaging in an illegal monetary transaction; and (3) filing a false income tax return. *See United States of America v. Joseph S. Anile, II*, Case No. 8:19-cr-334-T-35CPT (M.D. Fla.) (the “**Anile Criminal Action**” or “**ACA**”). A copy of Anile’s plea agreement was attached as Exhibit A to the Receiver’s Second Interim Report. Doc. 195. On November 18, 2020, Anile was sentenced to imprisonment of 120 months and supervised release of three years. ACA Doc. 56. He was also ordered to pay restitution of \$53,270,336.08. *Id.*

As also mentioned above, on December 17, 2019, a federal grand jury returned a two-count indictment against defendant DaCorta, alleging conspiracy to commit wire and mail fraud as well as engaging in an illegal monetary transaction. *See United States of America v. Michael J. DaCorta*, Case No. 8:19-cr-605-T-02CPT (M.D. Fla.) (the “**DaCorta Criminal Action**” or “**DCA**”). A copy of the indictment was attached as Exhibit A to the Receiver’s Third Interim Report. According to the grand jury, as early as November 2011, DaCorta entered into a conspiracy to defraud investors by making numerous fraudulent representations. *See DCA Doc. 1 ¶ 14b.-d.*

It was a further part of the conspiracy that conspirators would and did use funds “loaned” by victim-investors to: (i) conduct trades, via an offshore broker, in the FOREX market, which trades resulted in catastrophic losses; (ii) make Ponzi-style payments to victim-investors; (iii) pay expenses

associated with perpetuating the scheme; and (iv) purchase million-dollar residential properties, high-end vehicles, gold, silver, and other liquid assets, to fund a lavish lifestyle for conspirators, their family members and friends, and otherwise for their personal enrichment.

Id. at ¶ 14k. On February 17, 2021, the DOJ filed a superseding indictment against DaCorta, adding a third count for making a “false and fraudulent statement” on an income tax return. A copy of the superseding indictment is attached to the Receiver’s Eighth Interim Report as Exhibit D.⁵

On May 4, 2022, after two weeks of testimony and argument before the Honorable William F. Jung, a jury found DaCorta guilty on all three counts. DCA Doc. 192. On October 20, 2022, Judge Jung sentenced DaCorta to imprisonment of 276 months (*i.e.*, 23 years) for his role in the Ponzi scheme underlying this enforcement action. DCA Doc. 234. Judge Jung also ordered DaCorta to pay restitution in the amount of \$53,270,336.08, jointly and severally with defendant Anile (although the Receiver has already recovered and sold both individuals’ material assets).

⁵ On December 2, 2021, defendant DaCorta filed a motion to dismiss the Receiver. *See* Doc. 447. His arguments were frivolous and based on misrepresentations of relevant facts and governing law. As such, on December 16, 2021, the Receiver and the CFTC opposed the motion. *See* Docs. 452, 453. On December 16, 2021, DaCorta also filed an extremely belated motion to dismiss the CFTC’s complaint (Doc. 454), and on January 13, 2022, the CFTC opposed the motion (Doc. 465). Along with his attempts to frustrate the claims process (*see infra* § VI), DaCorta’s frivolous motions and other filings appeared designed to deplete Receivership resources and to hinder the payment of approved claims submitted by victims and other creditors. On March 7, 2022, the Court denied both of DaCorta’s motions. *See* Doc. 481 (“DaCorta failed to raise any objections to the Receiver’s appointment for the last two and a half years. He cannot legitimately do so now, and he has put forth no allegations or evidence showing how or why the Receiver is not lawfully appointed or failing to diligently fulfil his lawful mandate.”).

On January 14, 2022, the DOJ moved the Court to extend the stay in this enforcement action for an additional six months to protect its ongoing investigation. Doc. 467. The Court granted the motion and extended the stay until July 24, 2022. Doc. 470. After the DOJ declined to further extend the stay, the Court noted its expiration on July 24, 2022, and ordered the parties to confer and file a case management report by August 8, 2022. Doc. 652. The existence of the stay did not impact the Receiver, who continued to marshal assets, develop a claims process, and plan litigation, consistent with his Court-ordered mandate. Now that the DOJ's criminal case against DaCorta has concluded, the CFTC's civil case against him and the other defendants has resumed.

While the Receiver is not a party to the CFTC action, its outcome against individual defendants is important to the Receiver because the CFTC seeks monetary relief from those defendants. The Receiver has tolling agreements with several individuals and may wait for the resolution of the CFTC's claims before pursuing any direct action against them.

II. Overview of the Receiver's Findings

The Consolidated Order authorizes, empowers, and directs the Receiver to "investigate the manner in which the financial and business affairs of the Receivership Defendants were conducted...." Doc. 177 ¶ 44. Pursuant to that mandate, the Receiver obtained and reviewed records from Receivership Entities and third parties. The Receiver has formed certain conclusions based on his review

of a portion of the records received and interviews with employees, lawyers, accountants, and others.

As demonstrated by Anile's 2019 guilty plea and DaCorta's 2022 criminal conviction following a two-week jury trial, there is abundant evidence that the defendants were operating a fraudulent investment scheme. The scheme began with the sale of preferred shares in OIG, which is registered in the Cayman Islands. The shares promised a 12% dividend that was to be derived from trading by a related company: first, Oasis Global FX, Limited and then Oasis Global FX, S.A. – *i.e.*, the Oasis Pools. These companies were registered in New Zealand and Belize, respectively, and were purportedly introducing brokers that would trade currencies or currency-related contracts. The 12% return was to be derived from trading profits and transaction income earned by the brokers. The preferred shares were sold to investors through a private placement memorandum that contained significant false representations and omitted numerous material facts, including that DaCorta, the "Chief Investment Officer," was prohibited from currency trading through a prior regulatory action in the United States. As the scheme grew, other companies – Oasis Management and Satellite Holdings – were used to gather investments and funnel them into the scheme. Preferred shareholders became purported "lenders" who were told they were lending money to certain defendants. Investors were regularly sent statements showing an account with a principal amount and accrued and accruing earnings. All of this was false, as confirmed by defendant Anile's guilty plea and DaCorta's conviction.

As the scheme matured, the perpetrators created a website that investors could access to view their purported accounts. Investors' account pages showed that they were credited with a 1% "interest" payment each month and, on a daily basis, a portion of purported trading income earned by the scheme's trading entity.⁶ The scheme was successful and proliferated because of the continued deception of the investors with respect to their purported accounts. They were led to believe that they held valuable loan accounts that continually earned money when, in fact, the scheme appears to have been insolvent since its inception. As an example, when the CFTC stopped the scheme in April 2019, the fraudulent website showed investors that they were owed an aggregate of over \$120 million. In truth, OIG only had liquid assets of less than \$10 million and was losing money.

The Receiver's analysis indicates that a total of approximately \$80 million was raised from investors.⁷ An analysis from the beginning of 2017 indicates that approximately \$20 million was deposited for trading, which resulted in substantial losses. The remainder of the money raised from investors was used to make Ponzi

⁶ Specifically, many investors were told by those perpetrating the scheme that the investors would receive a portion of the "spread pay" that Oasis Global FX, S.A. earned from its purported role as a broker of forex transactions for OIG. The spread pay, however, was nothing more than a markup on all transactions and served to increase the losses in the OIG account. No spread pay (or any portion thereof) was ever distributed to an investor. Rather, it was a ruse used to deceive investors into believing that they were receiving enhanced returns when, in fact, fictitious amounts were being credited to their fraudulent accounts. In truth, Oasis Global FX, S.A. and its traders conducted continually and routinely unprofitable trades and lost almost all the investors' money. The fabrication of returns based on purported spread pay was an integral part of the system through which the perpetrators lured investors into the scheme.

⁷ To the extent these numbers differ from those alleged by the CFTC, the Receiver understands that the CFTC only considered transactions within the pertinent statute of limitations while the Receiver is reviewing all available transactions.

payments to other investors, to pay expenses to perpetuate the scheme, and to enrich the defendants. Through the claims process discussed below in Section VI, investors and other creditors have submitted hundreds of claims totaling approximately \$70 million.

ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE RECEIVER

During this reporting period, the Receiver has taken steps to fulfill his mandates under the Consolidated Order and its predecessors. Doc. 177 ¶ 56.A.

III. Securing The Receivership Estate

Attached as **Exhibit A** to this Fourteenth Interim Report is a cash accounting report showing (1) the amount of money on hand from July 1, 2022, less operating expenses plus revenue, through September 30, 2022, and (2) the same information from the beginning of the Receivership (as opposed to the current reporting period). *See* Doc. 177 ¶ 56.B. & C. This cash accounting report does not reflect non-cash or cash-equivalent assets. Thus, the value of uncollected or unsold property discussed below is not included in the accounting report. From July 1, 2022, through September 30, 2022, the Receiver collected income of \$28,719.61.⁸

⁸ As explained in footnote 1, to the extent possible, the Receiver has included in this Fourteenth Interim Report transactions and events occurring after September 30, 2022, to give the Court and others the most current overview of the Receiver's activities. Money collected after that date, however, is not reflected in Exhibit A. Those collections will be included in the Receiver's next interim report.

A. Cooperation with the Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and U.S. Marshals Service

As discussed more fully in the Receiver's First Interim Report (Doc. 113), on April 17, 2019, the DOJ, through the United States Attorney's Office for the Middle District of Florida, filed a civil forfeiture action against almost all the properties identified in § III.C below (which were already under the Receiver's control pursuant to the Consolidated Order and/or its predecessors). *See United States of America v. 13318 Lost Key Place, Lakewood Ranch, Florida et al.*, Case No. 8:19-cv-00908 (M.D. Fla.) (the "**Forfeiture Action**" or "**FA**") (FA Doc. 1 ¶ 1). In addition, the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("**FBI**") instituted administrative forfeiture proceedings against, at minimum, the vehicles described in § III.D.1 and the cash, gold, and silver described in § III.D.2. The Receiver, the DOJ, and the United States Marshals Service ("**USMS**") reached agreements governing the forfeiture and sale of this property as well as the transfer and remission of the sale proceeds. *See* Doc. 105, Ex. A (Consent Forfeiture Agreement); Ex. B (Memorandum of Understanding or "**MOU**"); Ex. C (Liquidation Plan). On June 7, 2019, the Receiver moved the Court to approve these agreements (Doc. 105), and the Court granted the Receiver's motion on June 13, 2019 (Doc. 112). According to the MOU, "[t]he Receiver has sole discretion to decide the logistics of the sale of the Forfeited Receivership Assets, on the terms and in the manner the Receiver deems most beneficial to the Receivership Estate and with due regard to the realization of the true and proper value of such property." Doc. 105, Ex. B. The MOU also recognizes that "[a]ll sales of Receivership Assets, including Forfeited

Receivership Assets, must comply with the provisions set forth in the Receivership Orders.” *Id.* After the Receiver sells a property subject to forfeiture, the Receiver will transfer the net proceeds to the USMS for deposit in the Department of Justice Asset Forfeiture Fund. *Id.* The Receiver will subsequently file one or more petitions for remission with the DOJ, and the sale proceeds will be returned for distribution to defrauded investors through a claims process supervised by this Court. *See* § VI.

The Forfeiture Action and the FBI’s administrative forfeiture proceedings are complete, and the Receiver has sold all material assets. On October 9, 2020, the Receiver transferred \$3,295,119.94 to the USMS pursuant to the MOU. On May 25, 2021, the Receiver transferred an additional \$2,341,505.18 to the USMS pursuant to the MOU. These amounts are listed on Line 12 of Exhibit A (from inception). The funds will be remitted to the Receiver in connection with the claims process and his distribution plan. The transfer and remission are intended to comply with certain forfeiture regulations and will not affect the total amount of money available for distribution to claimants. The Receiver anticipates that the DOJ will also remit approximately \$2,000,000 recovered from the United Kingdom for distribution through the claims process. To that end, the Receiver has submitted a petition for remission to the DOJ seeking the return of approximately \$7.8 million for distribution through the claims process.

B. Freezing Bank Accounts and Liquid Assets

As explained in the First Interim Report, the Receiver identified and/or froze approximately \$11 million at various financial institutions in the United States, the

United Kingdom, and Belize. The Receiver opened a money market account for the Receivership at ServisFirst Bank (the “**Receivership Account**”).⁹ The Receiver has now deposited more than \$8.6 million of the frozen funds into this account.¹⁰ The remaining amount is almost entirely composed of the money from Belize and the United Kingdom, as discussed below. The Receiver will attempt to obtain as much of that money as possible and to identify any other accounts containing assets belonging to the Receivership Estate. A list of bank or other financial accounts organized by defendant, relief defendant, and/or affiliated entity is attached as **Exhibit B**.

1. The ATC Account in the United Kingdom

On April 18, 2019, the Receiver served London-based ATC Brokers LTD (“**ATC**”) with a copy of the SRO and requested that ATC freeze all accounts associated with the defendants and relief defendants. In cooperation with domestic law enforcement and the United Kingdom’s National Crime Agency, ATC identified and froze one account in the name of Oasis Global FX, S.A., which contained \$2,005,368.28. During October 2021, the DOJ recovered those funds pursuant to certain international agreements, and the agency now has custody of the repatriated money. As noted above, the Receiver has petitioned the government

⁹ The Receiver also opened a checking/operating account for making disbursements.

¹⁰ Carolyn DaCorta – defendant DaCorta’s wife – paid \$32,100 for a membership in the Long Boat Key Club one week before the Receiver was appointed. The Receiver obtained a \$30,000 refund without the need for litigation, which is included in the above calculation. The membership was purchased with misappropriated investor funds, and during his criminal trial, DaCorta’s purchase of the membership was an important example of his illegal diversion of assets.

for remission of those and other funds. Recently, the Receiver was informed that a determination by the DOJ would occur on November 2, 2022. Funds should be transferred shortly thereafter. The money will be distributed to victim-investors through the claims process established by the Court and the Receiver.

2. Financial Assets in Belize

Shortly after his appointment, the Receiver learned that Oasis Global FX Limited owned an account (x4622) at Choice Bank Limited (“**Choice Bank**”) in Belize. On June 29, 2018, however, regulators in Belize revoked Choice Bank’s license and appointed a liquidator. During October 2021, the Receiver recovered a total of \$55,960.78 from the liquidator. Those funds are now within the Receivership Estate and included in Exhibit A.

The Receiver also learned that Oasis Global FX, S.A. has an account at Heritage Bank Limited (“**Heritage Bank**”) in Belize containing \$500,000. The money served as a bond that allowed Oasis Global FX, S.A. to operate as a broker-dealer in Belize. On May 7, 2019, the Belize International Financial Services Commission suspended the entity’s trading licenses. On October 22, 2019, the Receiver and defendant Anile executed corporate documents to take legal control of Oasis Global FX, S.A. (in addition to the powers conferred by the Consolidated Order). To bring finality to this matter, the Receiver has retained new local counsel in Belize with the Court’s approval. *See* Docs. 478, 488. Although the Financial Services Commission sent Heritage Bank a letter on September 1, 2022, authorizing release of the funds, Heritage Bank through its counsel continues to

refuse to do so. The Receiver is working with his new counsel to resolve this issue as soon as possible so that the funds can be distributed through the claims process.

C. Securing Real Property

The Receivership Estate contained numerous parcels of real property, including single-family homes, condominiums, and a waterfront office building.¹¹ In the Consolidated Order and its predecessors, the Court directed the Receiver to “[t]ake all steps necessary to secure the business and other premises under the control of the Receivership Defendants” (Doc. 7 at 15-16) and to “take immediate possession of all real property of the Receivership Defendants, wherever located, including but not limited to all ownership and leasehold interests and fixtures” (Doc. 44 ¶ 19; Doc. 177 ¶ 19).

1. All Receivership Real Estate Has Been Sold

The Receiver has sold all real property in the Receivership Estate. The transactions are explained in prior interim reports and summarized in the following chart. The “Net Recovery” column represents the amounts transferred to the Receivership Estate at closing after satisfying any claims against the properties (like mortgages and taxes) and paying closing costs and commissions.

¹¹ In addition to the properties discussed below, relief defendant 444 Gulf of Mexico Drive, LLC holds an \$80,000 mortgage on the property located at 1605 55th Avenue West, Bradenton, Florida 34207. The mortgage matured on December 1, 2021. On January 19, 2022, the mortgage was satisfied in the amount of \$82,324.03, which is now within the Receivership Estate and included in Exhibit A.

PROPERTY	SALE PRICE	NET RECOVERY
444 Gulf of Mexico Drive Longboat Key, Florida	\$2,100,000	\$1,994,155.06
13318 Lost Key Place Lakewood Ranch, Florida	\$1,100,000	\$1,038,704.75
6922 Lacantera Circle Lakewood Ranch, Florida	\$2,050,000	\$372,823.83
4064 Founders Club Drive Sarasota, Florida	\$1,875,000	\$581,712.41
4058 Founders Club Drive Sarasota, Florida	\$195,000	\$186,252.37
7312 Desert Ridge Glen Lakewood Ranch, Florida	\$846,000	\$774,740.08
16804 Vardon Terrace #307 Lakewood Ranch, Florida	\$198,000	\$187,542.50
16804 Vardon Terrace #108 Lakewood Ranch, Florida	\$212,000.	\$204,312.38
16904 Vardon Terrace #106 Lakewood Ranch, Florida	\$184,000	\$177,104.89
17006 Vardon Terrace #105 Lakewood Ranch, Florida	\$198,000	\$187,813.91
6300 Midnight Pass Rd., No. 1002, Sarasota, Florida	\$913,000	\$863,654.69

2. Defendant Montie's Real Property

Defendant Montie owned real estate in Hauppauge, New York. He expressed a desire to sell the property and identified a potential purchaser. The Receiver commissioned an independent appraisal and confirmed that the proposed sale price of \$505,000 reflected market value. Montie conferred with the CFTC and the

Receiver, and the parties agreed to the sale. On December 22, 2020, the Court granted Montie's unopposed motion to permit the sale. Doc. 342. The transaction closed on April 23, 2021. After satisfaction of a mortgage and payment of closing costs, the net proceeds of the sale were \$278,274.46. Those funds are being held in escrow pending the resolution of claims against Montie.

Montie also owns property in Jackson, New Hampshire, which he valued at \$1,412,800, based on "local property assessor figures." The current estimate from Zillow.com is \$1,645,100, but Realtor.com lists the value as \$2,242,400. As of June 15, 2019, the property carried a mortgage of \$845,747. Finally, Montie owns property in Lake Ariel, Pennsylvania, which he valued at \$926,700, based on "local property assessor figures." The current estimate from Zillow.com is \$1,988,100, and Realtor.com lists the value as \$1,346,800. As of August 1, 2019, the property carried a mortgage of \$658,254. Montie's currently unsold properties thus carried positive net equity of approximately \$835,499 in 2019. The Receiver is in the process of obtaining more accurate, updated valuations and mortgage balances through settlement and other negotiations. "Montie is responsible for making mortgage, property tax, and insurance payments and for the general upkeep of these residences." Doc. 177 ¶ 20. The Receiver will pursue these properties and any other disclosed (or undisclosed) assets when the circumstances warrant.

3. Defendant Haas's Real Property

Defendant Haas owns a property in New York, which he estimated to be worth approximately \$502,000. As of August 2021, it had a mortgage in the

amount of \$97,000. As such, Haas's property carries positive net equity of approximately \$405,000 but might need certain repairs before any liquidation. "Haas is responsible for making mortgage, property tax, and insurance payments and for the general upkeep of this residence." Doc. 177 ¶ 21. The Receiver will pursue this property and any other disclosed (or undisclosed) assets when the circumstances warrant.

D. Securing Personal Property

1. Vehicles

On April 18, 2019, FBI agents executed search warrants and seized, among other things, luxury automobiles purchased by certain defendants and relief defendants. The FBI then instituted administrative forfeiture proceedings against the vehicles. On October 11, 2019, the Receiver filed a motion seeking the Court's approval of his plan to auction the vehicles pursuant to the MOU. Doc. 192. The Court granted the motion on October 29, 2019. Doc 194. Orlando Auto Auction sold the vehicles that were not underwater, which resulted in a recovery of approximately \$307,714. The Receiver obtained the sale proceeds in January 2020. The Receiver has now sold all forfeited vehicles and collected all related funds.¹² For more information, please see the Receiver's prior reports.

¹² During a previous reporting period, the Receiver and defendant Montie coordinated to sell his 1996 Mercedes 500SL for \$10,500. Those funds are being held in escrow along with the proceeds from the sale of his New York property.

2. Cash and Precious Metals

Law enforcement agents also seized cash, gold, and silver from certain defendants or their residences. On November 4, 2019, the Receiver moved the Court to approve a procedure for the sale of the metals, and the Court granted the motion on November 7, 2019. *See* Docs. 197, 200. After obtaining several bids from companies that deal in precious metals, the Receiver sold the gold and silver to International Diamond Center for \$657,382.25. *See* Doc. 205. The Receiver has now sold all forfeited metals and collected all related funds.¹³ For more information, please see the Receiver's prior interim reports.

3. Other Personal Property

When the Receiver and his representatives visited certain defendants' residences on April 18, 2019, they observed and photographed potentially valuable items, including art, antiques, collectibles, sports memorabilia, and jewelry. The defendants have been instructed that all such personal property is subject to the asset freeze, and they are not to sell, transfer, or otherwise dispose of anything without the Receiver's authorization. To date, the Receiver has identified and/or seized the property listed in **Exhibit C**.¹⁴ He has sold most items as set forth in the exhibit. The Receiver is working with the defendants and their counsel to identify additional property that rightfully belongs to the Receivership Estate.

¹³ This does not include certain assets in the possession of defendants Haas and Montie, as disclosed in their financial affidavits.

¹⁴ Importantly, the values identified in Exhibit C were and are only estimates. Actual recoveries have been and will be subject to market conditions and other factors.

E. Securing the Receivership Entities' Books and Records

As explained in prior interim reports, the Receiver and his professionals have taken substantial steps to secure the Receivership Entities' books and records, including computer systems, emails, and other documents. The Receiver has also obtained documents from numerous nonparties under the Consolidated Order or through subpoenas. During this reporting period, the Receiver has obtained documents directly from investors in connection with his demand letters, clawback litigation, and/or the claims process. The Receiver continues to encourage investors who dispute the Receiver's calculations of gains or losses related to the scheme to provide documents substantiating the dispute. This will ultimately conserve resources and avoid unnecessary litigation.

F. Operating or Related Businesses

In prior interim reports, the Receiver has provided information about three businesses: (1) relief defendant Roar of the Lion; (2) Mirror Innovations, LLC; and (3) Diamond Boa LLC d/b/a Kevin Johnson Reptiles. None of these businesses have material value to the Receivership Estate.¹⁵

¹⁵ During a prior reporting period, the Receiver authorized the destruction of the Roar of the Lion inventory, which primarily consisted of "nutritional" supplements and similar items promising an unfounded array of purported health benefits. The Receiver first contacted e-commerce professionals to determine whether the company's intellectual property had any value, but those efforts did not produce commercially viable results. The Receiver also contacted potential distributors but learned that the products would have to meet certain requirements relating to the provenance and composition of their ingredients. Given (1) the Receiver obtained control over Roar of the Lion as part of a massive fraud, and (2) the company's products are meant for human consumption and promise unsubstantiated health benefits, the Receiver determined that resale of the supplements would not be commercially viable or benefit the Receivership Estate and might even be unsafe to consumers. To eliminate substantial storage costs, the Receiver authorized the destruction of the inventory, as permitted by the Consolidated Order.

IV. Retention of Professionals

The Consolidated Order authorizes the Receiver “[t]o engage and employ persons in his discretion to assist him in carrying out his duties and responsibilities hereunder, including, but not limited to, accountants, attorneys, securities traders, registered representatives, financial or business advisors, liquidating agents, real estate agents, forensic experts, brokers, traders or auctioneers.” Doc. 177 at ¶ 8.F.

On May 30, 2019, the Receiver moved the Court to approve his engagement of the following legal, accounting, and other professionals: (1) Wiand Guerra King P.A. n/k/a Guerra King P.A. (“**WGK**” or “**GK**”), a law firm; (2) KapilaMukamal, LLP (“**KM**”), a forensic accounting firm; (3) PDR CPAs (“**PDR**”), a tax accounting firm; (4) RWJ Group, LLC (“**RWJ**”), an asset management and investigations firm; and (5) E-Hounds, Inc. (“**E-Hounds**”), a technology and computer forensics firm. *See* Doc. 87. On June 6, 2019, the Court granted the Receiver’s motion for approval to retain these professionals. Doc. 98. The Receiver has also retained special counsel to assist with the repatriation of foreign assets: Wayne A. Piper and Flores Piper LLP in Belize (Doc. 488) and Maples Group in the Cayman Islands (Doc. 187).

On March 5, 2020, the Receiver filed a motion seeking to retain Sallah Astarita & Cox, LLC (the “**Sallah Firm**”) on a contingency fee basis to investigate and pursue claims against FAI. Doc. 238. Similarly, on March 20, 2020, the Receiver moved the Court to approve his retention of Sergio C. Godinho as a litigation consultant to assist the Receiver’s and the Sallah Firm’s investigation and

prosecution of those claims. Doc. 253. FAI opposed both motions, and after related briefing, on April 7, 2020, the Court granted the Receiver's motions, thereby approving his engagement of the Sallah Firm and Mr. Godinho. Doc. 261. As explained in Section V.1.a., the Receiver has since resolved his claims against FAI.

On March 24, 2020, the Receiver moved the Court to approve the engagement of John Waechter and Englander Fischer to assist the Receiver and his primary counsel with clawback litigation. Doc. 285. The Court granted the Receiver's motion on April 13, 2020. Doc. 264. As explained in Section V.2.b. below, the Receiver was pursuing litigation against numerous defendants, but that litigation is now substantially complete, and the Receiver has begun collecting the judgments obtained.

On March 31, 2021, the Receiver filed a second motion seeking to retain the Sallah Firm on a contingency fee basis to investigate and pursue claims against ATC Brokers Ltd. and its affiliates and principals. Doc. 385. On April 23, 2021, the Court granted the Receiver's motion, thereby approving his second engagement of the Sallah Firm. Doc. 390. On July 13, 2021, the Court also granted the Receiver's motion to approve the engagement of Thomas Bakas as a litigation consultant to the Receiver and the Sallah Firm. *See* Docs. 412, 415.

Recently, attorney Jared Perez left GK and is now practicing through his own firm, Jared J. Perez P.A. Because Mr. Perez was the lead counsel and senior attorney on this matter, the Receiver intends to continue to use his services

V. Pending and Contemplated Litigation

The Consolidated Order requires this Fourteenth Interim Report to contain “a description of liquidated and unliquidated claims held by the Receivership Estate, including the need for forensic and/or investigatory resources; approximate valuations of claims; and anticipated or proposed methods of enforcing such claims (including likelihood of success in (i) reducing the claims to judgment and (ii) collecting such judgments.).” Doc. 177 ¶ 56.E. The following subsections address both asserted and unasserted claims held by the Receivership Estate and certain related litigation.

1. Completed and Related Litigation

a. Fundadministration, Inc.

As explained above in Section IV, the Court authorized the Receiver to retain the Sallah Firm to investigate and pursue claims against FAI on a contingency fee basis. The Receiver and FAI mediated their dispute on October 13, 2020, and subsequently reached an agreement regarding the Receiver’s claims. On February 8, 2021, the Receiver moved the Court to approve the parties’ agreement (Doc. 368), and on February 25, 2021, the Court granted the Receiver’s motion (Doc. 376). On or about March 1, 2021, FAI transferred net settlement proceeds of \$3,555,000.00 to the Receiver. FAI also reached an agreement with the CFTC, which provided for its dismissal as a relief defendant from the agency’s enforcement action. *See* Docs. 364, 366. As such, FAI is no longer a party to any litigation involving the Receiver or the CFTC.

b. The Government's Civil Forfeiture Action

The Department of Justice instituted administrative and civil forfeiture proceedings against certain assets of defendants in the CFTC Action. These actions are essentially complete. Judgments of forfeiture have been entered against all defendant properties in the civil forfeiture action. *See* FA Docs. 60, 63, 65, 67. The FBI's administrative forfeiture action against certain personal property is also complete. As of the Ninth Interim Report, the Receiver had sold all material, forfeited real and personal property in the Receivership Estate. As a result of the criminal convictions of Anile and DaCorta, additional forfeitures have been or will be sought. The actions will be for millions of dollars, but the debts are unlikely to be satisfied because those individuals have few, if any, remaining assets.

c. The Anile Criminal Action

As noted above, defendant Anile pled guilty to several felony charges regarding the scheme, and the court in the Anile Criminal Action accepted his guilty plea on October 15, 2019. ACA Docs. 19, 27. He was sentenced to imprisonment of 120 months (*i.e.*, 10 years) and supervised release of three years. He was also ordered to pay restitution of \$53,270,336.08. The DOJ has repatriated approximately \$2 million from the United Kingdom (*see supra* § III.B.1.), and the Receiver believes the Anile Criminal Action is essentially complete. Anile reported to prison on June 1, 2022, in Rochester, Minnesota. He has applied for a reduction in sentence considering his cooperation with prosecutors in related criminal proceedings and with the Receiver in his efforts to recover assets.

2. Pending and Related Litigation

The Receiver is not aware of any litigation against Receivership Entities that was pending at his appointment, and the Consolidated Order enjoins the filing of any litigation against Receivership Entities without leave of Court.

a. The DaCorta Criminal Action

As also noted above, defendant DaCorta was indicted in a separate but related action. DCA Doc. 1. A copy of the initial indictment was attached as Exhibit A to the Receiver's Third Interim Report, and a copy of the superseding indictment was attached as Exhibit D to the Receiver's Eighth Interim Report. DaCorta stood trial in April 2022, and after two weeks of testimony and argument, a jury found him guilty on all counts, including mail and wire fraud and money laundering. On October 20, 2022, the Honorable William F. Jung sentenced DaCorta to imprisonment of 276 months (*i.e.*, 23 years) for his role in the Ponzi scheme underlying this enforcement action. Judge Jung also ordered DaCorta to pay restitution in the amount of \$53,270,336.08, jointly and severally with defendant Anile (although the Receiver has already recovered and sold both individuals' material assets). Although DaCorta has been taken into custody and is in prison, this matter is still pending because he is appealing his conviction.

b. The Receiver's General Clawback Litigation

The Court found that entry of the Consolidated Order was necessary and appropriate for the purposes of marshaling and preserving all assets, including in relevant part, assets that "were fraudulently transferred by the Defendants and/or

Relief Defendants.” Doc. 177 at 2. The Court also authorized the Receiver “to sue for and collect, recover, receive and take into possession all Receivership Property” (*id.* ¶ 8.B.) and “[t]o bring such legal actions based on law or equity in any state, federal, or foreign court as the Receiver deems necessary or appropriate in discharging his duties as Receiver” (*id.* ¶ 8.I.). Similarly, the Court authorized, empowered, and directed the Receiver to “prosecute” actions “of any kind as may in his discretion, and in consultation with the CFTC’s counsel, be advisable or proper to recover and/or conserve Receivership Property.” *Id.* ¶ 43.

Pursuant to that mandate, the Receiver worked with forensic accountants to perform a cash-in/cash-out analysis of the Receivership Entities. This allowed the Receiver to identify any investor who received more money from a Receivership Entity than he or she contributed to the Receivership Entity. In Ponzi schemes, such amounts are generally referred to as “false profits” because the money transferred to the pertinent investor was not derived from legitimate activities but from other defrauded investors. Receivers in the Eleventh Circuit (and nationwide) have a clear right to recover false profits through fraudulent transfer or “clawback” litigation. *See, e.g., Wiand v. Lee, et al.*, 753 F.3d 1194 (11th Cir. 2014).¹⁶

¹⁶ *See also* Doc. 237 § II; *Wiand v. Lee*, 2012 WL 6923664, at *17 (M.D. Fla. Dec. 13, 2012), *adopted* 2013 WL 247361 (M.D. Fla. Jan. 23, 2013) (“[A]s the Receiver indicates, it is well-settled that a receiver is entitled to recover from winning investors profits above the initial outlay, also known as ‘false profits,’ and an investor in a scheme does not provide reasonably equivalent value for any amounts received from [the] scheme that exceed the investor’s principal investment.”); *Perkins v. Haines*, 661 F.3d 623, 627 (11th Cir. 2011) (“Any transfers over and above the amount of the principal—*i.e.*, for fictitious profits—are not made for ‘value’ because they exceed the scope of the investors’ fraud claim and may be subject to recovery....”).

On February 28, 2020, the Receiver filed a motion seeking approval of certain pre-suit settlement procedures regarding his fraudulent transfer and unjust enrichment claims against investors who received false profits. Doc. 237. The Court granted that motion on March 16, 2020. Doc. 247. The Receiver then mailed approximately 175 demand letters to potential defendants, offering to waive the Receiver's entitlement to prejudgment interest and to settle the Receiver's claims for 90% of the investor's false profits. Those letters also offered potential defendants the opportunity to dispute the Receiver's calculations. The pre-suit resolution procedures were fruitful in several important ways:

- First and most importantly, the procedures resulted in settlements collectively worth \$246,497.09.
- Second, many investors and/or their counsel took the afforded opportunity to contest the Receiver's calculations by providing documents showing that they did not, in fact, receive false profits or, for example, that the investor was entitled to an equitable setoff because one account received false profits but a related account suffered even greater losses. This conserved resources by avoiding unnecessary litigation.
- Third, in more complicated situations, the Receiver and investors and/or their counsel entered into tolling agreements to afford additional time to exchange documents, reconcile accounts, and engage in negotiations. This process is ongoing.

Given the foregoing, the Receiver believes the pre-suit settlement procedures were productive and successful, but unfortunately, many investors did not take advantage of the afforded opportunity. In preparation for that likely event, on March 24, 2020, the Receiver moved the Court for authority to file clawback litigation. Doc. 258. The Court granted the Receiver's motion on April 13, 2010.

Doc. 264. Pursuant to the Consolidated Order and the Court's express authorization, on April 14, 2020, the Receiver filed a clawback complaint against almost 100 non-settling investors, seeking to recover approximately \$4.4 million plus costs and prejudgment interest. A copy of the complaint can be found on the [Receiver's website](#) (the "**Clawback Action**").¹⁷

Since filing the Clawback Action, the Receiver has reached settlements with many defendants:

- On July 13, 2020, the Receiver moved the Court to approve 10 settlements with 15 defendants in the total amount of \$99,414.39. *See* Doc. 280. The Court granted the Receiver's motion on July 14, 2020. Doc. 281.
- On August 28, 2020, the Receiver moved the Court to approve 5 settlements with 8 defendants in the total amount of \$109,148.48. *See* Doc. 312. The Court granted the Receiver's motion on August 31, 2020. Doc. 314.
- On January 14, 2021, the Receiver moved the Court to approve 5 settlements with 6 defendants or potential defendants in the total amount of \$175,631.62. *See* Doc. 350. The Court granted the Receiver's motion on January 21, 2021. Doc. 357.
- On March 9, 2021, the Receiver moved the Court to approve 2 settlements with 3 defendants or potential defendants in the total amount of \$33,266.33. *See* Doc. 379. The Court granted the Receiver's motion on March 31, 2021. Doc. 383.
- On May 21, 2021, the Receiver moved the Court to approve 3 settlements with 5 defendants or potential defendants in the total

¹⁷ The Receiver did not include individuals who received smaller amounts of false profits in the Clawback Action, but importantly, he has not abandoned his claims against those individuals. He will pursue them in a cost-efficient manner and will explore alternative methods of recovery. As such, the Receiver continues to encourage people who received demand letters but were not named in the Clawback Action to reach resolutions with the Receiver.

amount of \$482,449.96. *See* Doc. 399. The Court granted the Receiver's motion on June 4, 2021. Doc. 404.

- On August 20, 2021, the Receiver moved the Court to approve 7 settlements with 8 defendants or potential defendants in the total amount of \$315,006.31. *See* Doc. 425. The Court granted the Receiver's motion on August 26, 2021. Doc. 427.
- The Receiver has also entered into four additional post-judgment settlements and will move the Court to approve those agreements.

In total, the Receiver has obtained pre-suit settlements worth approximately \$246,497.09 and approved, post-suit or post-judgment settlements worth approximately \$1,214,917.09. He has also obtained default judgments worth approximately \$2,145,880.47. One judgment-debtor (Garbellano) attempted to set aside the default judgment entered against him, and he also filed a bankruptcy petition. Because he received commissions for promoting the Oasis scheme, however, his bankruptcy petition was dismissed. A second judgment-debtor (Kerrigan) also filed for bankruptcy protection. The Receiver intends to move to dismiss his petition as well. The liability portion of the Clawback Action is otherwise complete. The Receiver continues to register default judgments, seek writs of garnishment, and employ other collection mechanisms, including post-judgment discovery. These efforts are producing material results.

c. The Receiver's Litigation Against Montie

The Receiver sued Raymond P. Montie, III for (like others) the recovery of fraudulent transfers and unjust enrichment but also for breaching his fiduciary duties to Oasis International Group, Ltd. and related entities and for aiding and abetting the criminal breaches of fiduciary duties owed to those entities by Anile

and DaCorta (the “**Montie Litigation**”). The Receiver seeks to recover fraudulent transfers in the amount of \$1.7 million that Montie received from the scheme and more than \$50 million in damages based on his tortious conduct. On June 16, 2020, Montie filed a motion to dismiss the Receiver’s complaint (ML Doc. 9), and on June 30, 2020, the Receiver filed a notice of his intent to amend the complaint, as a matter of right under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (ML Doc. 12). On July 2, 2020, Montie filed a motion seeking to strike the Receiver’s notice and to dismiss the Receiver’s case with prejudice. ML Doc. 13. During an in-person hearing on July 13, 2020, the judge presiding over the Montie Litigation denied the motion to strike. ML Doc. 22. The judge also denied Montie’s motion to dismiss as moot. ML Doc. 23.

On July 7, 2020, the Receiver filed an amended complaint, a copy of which is available on the Receiver’s website. On July 27, 2020, Montie filed a second motion to dismiss. ML Doc. 24. On November 2, 2020, the Court denied Montie’s second motion to dismiss. ML Doc. 45. The parties mediated their dispute on April 30, 2021, but did not reach a resolution. On May 25, 2021, the DOJ moved to stay the litigation to protect its ongoing criminal investigation, including the impending trial of defendant DaCorta. The court granted that motion on May 28, 2021. ML Doc. 62. Because DaCorta’s criminal trial concluded earlier this year, the Montie Litigation will resume, and the Receiver hopes to resolve it by settlement. Should that not be possible, the Receiver will aggressively pursue this action, as it appears a recovery may be possible. Montie’s lawyers recently moved to withdraw from

their representation of him in the underlying enforcement action, and the Receiver understands that the lawyers will also move to withdraw from this ancillary litigation. Unless and until Montie retains new counsel, he is required to represent himself in both cases.

d. The Receiver's Litigation Against ATC Brokers Ltd., Spotex LLC, and Affiliates

As explained in Section IV above, the Court approved the engagement of the Sallah Firm to further investigate and prosecute claims against ATC and its affiliates. The Court also approved the engagement of Thomas Bakas as a litigation consultant. On May 28, 2021, the Receiver filed suit against ATC Brokers Ltd., David Manoukian, and Spotex LLC. The complaint asserts claims for aiding and abetting fraud, aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duties, recovery of fraudulent transfers from ATC, gross negligence, and simple negligence. The Receiver is seeking both compensatory and punitive damages. A copy of the complaint was attached as Exhibit D to the Receiver's Ninth Interim Report and is also available on the [Receiver's website](#). A mediation occurred in May 2022, but the parties did not resolve their dispute. The court supervising this action recently granted a motion to dismiss with prejudice filed by the defendants based on jurisdictional and standing issues, but the Receiver believes the judge misapplied relevant Eleventh Circuit precedent. The Receiver has filed a notice of appeal. He and his counsel are considering the appropriate next steps and balancing any possible recovery against the uncertainty of further litigation.

e. The Receiver's Litigation Against Doug Clark

On July 1, 2022, the Receiver filed a complaint against former Oasis sales agent Doug Clark and his entity, Clark Asset Management Co., alleging fraudulent transfers, unjust enrichment, and aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duty and seeking the recovery of \$120,000. *See Burton W. Wiand, as Receiver for Oasis International Group, Ltd, et al. v. Clark Asset Management Co. & Douglas Clark*, Case No. 8:22-cv-01512 (M.D. Fla.). A copy of the complaint is available on the [Receiver's website](#). The complaint alleges that Clark, a former registered investment advisor who had worked with DaCorta on a previous fraudulent scheme, helped onboard Oasis investors. The defendants failed to respond, and on September 26, 2022, the Clerk of the Court entered defaults against Clark and Clark Asset Management Co. The Receiver is preparing motions for final default judgments against both defendants.

3. Contemplated Litigation

In addition to clawback claims, the Receiver is considering tort and fraudulent conveyance claims against sales agents and others (like Doug Clark) where the Receiver believes individuals have liability and an action appears to be of economic benefit to the Receivership.

a. Contemplated Litigation Against Insiders

The Receiver is considering litigation against certain OIG insiders, including principals, sales agents, employees, "traders," and others. On the one hand, the Receiver can assert legal and equitable claims that are independent of and distinct

from any claims the government can assert, either through the CFTC, the DOJ, or otherwise. On the other hand, the Receiver seeks to avoid duplicating efforts made (or to be made) by the government to conserve resources and avoid unnecessary litigation. For example, the Receiver likely will not pursue independent litigation against defendant Anile because the DOJ has already obtained a multi-million-dollar criminal forfeiture judgment against him. The Receiver and the government have seized “his” assets, including the house in which he was living (Founders Club), the cars he and his wife were driving, and other personal property. Most of these assets have already been sold. DaCorta is subject to a forfeiture judgement as part of his criminal conviction in an amount similar the judgment against Anile. To avoid unnecessary expenditures, the Receiver will rely on that judgement to acquire assets DaCorta might still retain.

The Receiver has entered into tolling agreements with defendants Haas and Duran. This will afford the parties additional time to resolve matters and to reach agreements, establish liability, and recover assets with minimal need for litigation or at least litigation funded by the Receivership Estate. The Receiver will coordinate with the CFTC to avoid the duplication of efforts with respect to these defendants and possibly others.

VI. Claims Process

As explained more fully in prior interim reports, the Receiver – with this Court’s approval – has established a claims process through which he intends to distribute the proceeds of the Receivership Estate to creditors, including defrauded

investors. The Claim Bar Date (as defined in Doc. 230 – *i.e.*, the deadline for submitting claims to the Receiver) was June 15, 2020. As of that date (with minimal exceptions), investors and other creditors submitted approximately 800 proof of claim forms totaling approximately \$70 million. Anyone who did not submit a proof of claim form by that date is barred from participating in a distribution from the Receivership Estate.

The Receiver filed the Claims Determination Motion on November 9, 2021 (Doc. 439), but defendant DaCorta opposed the motion, arguing the Receiver has no right to compensate creditors using DaCorta’s alleged “property” (*see* Doc. 445). DaCorta, however, ignored that he, Anile (his admitted coconspirator), and others stole that “property” from defrauded investors in violation of federal law. Notably, the other defendants did not object to the relief requested in the motion – *i.e.*, the continuation of the process through which the Receiver will ultimately return money to defrauded investors and other claimants. The Court directed the Receiver to respond to DaCorta’s opposition (Doc. 446), and the Receiver filed a short brief on December 3, 2021 (Doc. 448). The Receiver explained that (1) DaCorta’s arguments were without merit; (2) the relief requested in the motion was consistent with well-established equitable principles; and (3) delay would only further prejudice defrauded investors. *Id.*

On March 7, 2022, the Court granted the Claims Determination Motion. Doc. 482. The Court also expressly approved and implemented the Receiver’s proposed Objection Procedure (*see* Doc. 439 at pp. 44-45):

The Objection Procedure as set forth in the Motion for objections to the plan of distribution and the Receiver's claim determinations and claim priorities is logical, fair, and reasonable and is approved, and any and all objections to claim determinations and claim priorities as set forth in the Motion or Exhibits 1 through 5, or to the plan of distribution shall be presented to the Receiver in accordance with the Objection Procedure as set forth in the Motion.

Doc. 482 ¶ 5. The Receiver then posted a copy of the Court's Order on the Receivership website.¹⁸ The Receiver also sent substantively identical information to claimants and other interested parties via email. On March 25, 2022, the Receiver mailed more than 1,000 customized letters to claimants, and if applicable, their attorneys. As such, the Court-ordered deadline for submitting objections to the Receiver's claim determinations was **April 14, 2022**. See Doc. 439 § VIII.A.(c) at p. 45. Many claim determinations also required the associated claimant(s) to submit additional information to the Receiver – most commonly, a [Personal Verification Form](#) but, in some instances, supplemental information like bank statements or affidavits.

The Receiver and his professionals have largely completed their analysis of the claimants' submissions (or lack thereof), which can be summarized as follows:

- Only approximately 9 claimants submitted timely objections pursuant to the Court-approved Objection Procedure. In the Receiver's experience, most objections can be resolved or settled using the Court-approved procedures, but if any objections cannot be resolved, they will be presented to the Court for determination.
- Approximately 19 claimants associated with New Horizon were required to submit an independent proof of claim form. Two claimants did not submit the required form.

¹⁸ See www.oasisreceivership.com.

- Approximately 408 claimants were required to submit a Personal Verification Form, but only approximately 375 claimants initially submitted the required form.
- Approximately 329 claimants who were required to submit a Personal Verification Form altered the form by striking “pursuant to Florida law” from the declaration under penalty of perjury.
- Approximately 345 claimants submitted documents that purported to be “declarations.”¹⁹ Like the Notices, these documents are legal nullities, and the Receiver will not treat them as objections under the Objection Procedure.
- Approximately eight claimants were required to submit supplemental information or documentation, but four of those claimants failed to submit the required documents. One failure is particularly consequential because the claimant is related to an insider.
- Throughout the claims process, a group of approximately 400 individuals have repeatedly submitted deficient, altered, or otherwise improper documents like the “declarations” and Notices mentioned above and in footnote 18 based on advice from purported attorney Brent Winters and members of the so-called “Oasis Helper Group.” The Court has rejected these efforts to obstruct the Receivership, but the numerous filings have delayed the distribution of funds to defrauded investors by at least eight months.

¹⁹ Similarly, in mid-April 2022, approximately 150 individuals (out of approximately 800 submitted claims) filed a substantively identical document entitled “Beneficiary’s Notice And Objection To Receiver’s Continued Operations In The Absence Of Discovery, Hearing, And Final Judgment” (the “**Notices**”). The Notices asked the Court to prohibit the Receiver from making any distributions to any claimants until a final judgment has been entered in this action, which is currently stayed and without an operative case management order or trial date. On April 18, 2022, the Court *sua sponte* struck the Notices and certain related “declarations” from the docket as a “scheme” to undermine the Receivership. *See* Doc. 638 at p. 7 (“[T]he deluge of identical filings seems to the Court merely to be a scheme — clearly led and directed by one person or a group of people — to disrupt the orderly administration of this Receivership case.”). Unless directed otherwise by the Court, the Receiver will not treat the Notices as objections under the Objection Procedure because, among other procedural and substantive reasons, they have no connection to the Claims Determination Motion. As the Court observed, the Notices essentially sought an injunction against the Receiver’s continued operations until a final judgment has been entered in the enforcement action underlying this Receivership. They failed to address the claimants’ individualized determinations, the pooling of assets and liabilities, the priority of distributions, the use of the “Net Investment Method,” the Objection Procedure, due process requirements, or anything else discussed in the Receiver’s lengthy Claims Determination Motion.

- Despite serving and/or filing hundreds of identical documents on several occasions, approximately 342 claimants verified under penalty of perjury that Winters does not represent them in connection with this matter. At least 26 claimants, however, verified that Winters does represent them. An additional 5 claimants verified that Winters initially represented them, but they have since terminated his representation or indicated that they no longer wish for him to represent them. Such contradictory and often evasive responses have needlessly complicated this claims process.

Recently, to clarify these matters, the Receiver contacted several claimants to ask why they were attempting to impede their receipt of distributions from the Receivership. Some of the individuals told the Receiver to talk to their lawyer, Brent Winters. Others indicated that there was no proof of wrongdoing by DaCorta and others, despite the mass of evidence filed by the CFTC in this case and the detailed reports of the Receiver documenting the Ponzi scheme that victimized the claimants and others. Presumably, the trial and conviction of DaCorta on all counts by a jury of 12 citizens who required less than four hours to determine his guilt should allay any concerns about the fraudulent nature of the Oasis scheme. It should also convince the victims of this scheme that Winters and the “Oasis Helper Group” have misled them from the start and should be ignored in the future. The Receiver believes it a shame that the victims of the scheme were misled in making their investments, but their continued deception and evasion during the claims process is inexcusable. For more than two years and with the Court’s approval, the Receiver has afforded claimants substantial due process and several opportunities to comply with governing procedures and/or correct deficiencies in claims and supporting documents. Aside from additional action under the Objection

Procedure for qualified claimants, the Receiver is unlikely to provide further opportunities unless expressly directed otherwise by the Court.

The Receiver expects to move the Court within the next two weeks to approve a first interim distribution of approximately \$10 million to claimants with approved claims. The Receiver anticipates filing that motion as soon as possible after the submission of this interim report. The Receiver anticipates making multiple distributions, subject to cost/benefit concerns.

VII. The Next Ninety Days

The Consolidated Order requires this Fourteenth Interim Report (and all subsequent reports) to contain “[t]he Receiver’s recommendations for a continuation or discontinuation of the [R]eceivership and the reasons for the recommendations.” Doc. 177 ¶ 56.G. At this stage, the Receiver recommends continuation of the Receivership because he still has (1) approximately \$7.8 million to recover from the DOJ and to distribute to victim-investors; (2) approximately \$500,000 to repatriate from Belize; (3) additional personal property to liquidate; (4) litigation to bring and/or prosecute; and (5) a claims process to complete and funds to distribute.

During the next 90 days, the Receiver will continue to collect and analyze documents from nonparties and other sources. The Receiver will continue asserting claims against other third parties that have liability either to the Receivership Estate or investors. The Receiver will continue to attempt to locate funds and other assets and may institute additional proceedings to recover assets

on behalf of the Receivership Entities if warranted. In an effort to more fully understand the conduct at issue and in an attempt to locate more assets, the Receiver will continue to conduct interviews and/or depositions of parties and third parties who might have knowledge of the fraudulent scheme. The Receiver invites investor claimants to contact him to provide information about the activities of the individuals who solicited their investments in the Oasis scheme as well as information about those who have provided false and misleading guidance to impede the activities of the Receivership.

CONCLUSION

Investors and other creditors of the Receivership Entities are encouraged to periodically check the Receiver's website (www.oasisreceivership.com) for current information concerning this Receivership. The Receiver and his counsel have received an enormous amount of emails and telephone inquiries and have had to expend significant resources to address them. While the Receiver and his staff are available to respond to any inquiries, to minimize those expenses, investors and other creditors are strongly encouraged to consult the Receiver's website before contacting the Receiver or his counsel. Should the website not answer your question, please reach out to us. The Receiver continues to encourage individuals or attorneys representing investors who have information that might be helpful in securing further assets for the Receivership Estate or identifying other potential parties who might have liability to either the Receivership Estate or investors to email (astephens@guerraking.com) or call Amanda Stephens at 813-347-5100.

The Receiver can be contacted directly by email (Burt@BurtonWWiandPA.com)
or by phone at 727-460-4679.

Dated this 1st day of November 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Burton W. Wiand
Burton W. Wiand, Receiver

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on November 1, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system.

s/ Jared J. Perez

Jared J. Perez, FBN 0085192
Jared.Perez@JaredPerezLaw.com
Jared J. Perez P.A.

and

Lawrence J. Dougherty, FBN 0068637
ldougherty@guerraking.com
cgibson@guerraking.com
GUERRA KING P.A.
1408 N. West Shore Blvd., Suite 1010
Tampa, FL 33607
T: (813) 347-5100
F: (813) 347-5198

Attorneys for Receiver, Burton W. Wiand

EXHIBIT A

Standardized Accounting Report Form

Standardized Accounting Report for Oasis Management LLC Receivership

Civil Court Docket No. 8:19-cv-00886-VMC-SPF

Reporting Period 07/01/2022 to 09/30/2022

		Details	Subtotal	Grand Total	Notes
Line 1	Beginning Balance (As of 07/01/2022)			\$ 12,939,044.43	
	Increases in Fund Balance				
Line 2	Business Income				
Line 3	Cash and Securities				
Line 4	Interest/Dividend Income	\$ 11,766.28			Interest Income
Line 5	Asset Liquidation				
Line 6	Third-Party Litigation Income	\$ 16,953.33			Settlements
Line 7	Other Miscellaneous				
Total Funds Available - Totals Line 1 - 7			\$ 28,719.61	\$ 12,967,764.04	
	Decreases in Fund Balance				
Line 9	Disbursements to Investors				
Line 10	Disbursements for Receivership Operations				
10.a.1	Receiver	\$ 67,573.06			Receiver's Fees
10.a.2	Guerra King	\$ 192,427.41			Professional Fees
10.a.3	KapilaMukamal LLP	\$ 8,360.89			Professional Fees
10.a.4	PDR Certified Public Accts	\$ 9,237.32			Professional Fees
10.a.5	Johnson Cassidy	\$ 4,819.50			Professional Fees
10.a.6	Englander Fisher	\$ 53,633.12			Professional Fees
10.a.7	The RWJ Group	\$ 1,565.30			Professional Fees
10.a.8	E-Hounds	\$ 20,482.50			Professional Fees
10.a.9	Maples Group	\$ -			Professional Fees
10.a.10	Other Professional Fees	\$ 46,806.92			Professional Fees
Line 10	Total Disbursements to Receiver/Professionals		\$ 404,906.02		
10b	Third-Party Litigation Expenses				
10c	Asset Expenses	\$ 20.00			Bank Charges
10d	Tax Payments				
Total Disbursements for Receivership Ops.			\$ 404,926.02		
Line 11	Disbursements Related to Distribution Expenses				
Line 12	Disbursement to Court/Other				
Line 13	Other				
Total Funds Disbursed - Total Lines 9 - 13			\$ -	\$ 404,926.02	
Line 14	Ending Balance (as of 09/30/2022)			\$ 12,562,838.02	

Standardized Accounting Report Form

Standardized Accounting Report for Oasis Management LLC Receivership
Civil Court Docket No. 8:19-cv-00886-VMC-SPF
From Inception to 09/30/2022

	Details	Subtotal	Grand Total	Notes
Line 1 Beginning Balance			-	
Increases in Fund Balance				
Line 2 Business Income	\$ 53,335.13			Rental/Mortgage Income
Line 3 Cash and Securities	\$ 8,661,433.46			Cash from Frozen Accts.
Line 4 Interest/Dividend Income	\$ 206,005.32			Interest Income
Line 5 Asset Liquidation	\$ 7,892,523.41			Sale of Real Estate/Misc.
Line 6 Third-Party Litigation Income	\$ 5,098,482.21			Settlements
Line 7 Other Miscellaneous	\$ 143,854.01			Cash from J. Anile House and Mortgage Payoff
Total Funds Available - Totals Line 1 - 7		\$ 22,055,633.54	\$ 22,055,633.54	
Decreases in Fund Balance				
Line 9 Disbursements to Investors	-			
Line 10 Disbursements for Receivership Operations				
10.a.1 Receiver	\$ 373,883.19			Receiver's Fees
10.a.2 Guerra King	\$ 1,784,928.20			Professional Fees
10.a.3 KapilaMukamal LLP	\$ 292,240.26			Professional Fees
10.a.4 PDR Certified Public Accts	\$ 66,023.60			Professional Fees
10.a.5 RPM Financial	\$ 80,084.42			Professional Fees
10.a.6 Englander Fisher	\$ 439,002.78			Professional Fees
10.a.7 The RWJ Group	\$ 98,552.58			Professional Fees
10.a.8 E-Hounds	\$ 110,773.47			Professional Fees
10.a.9 Maples Group	\$ 42,337.98			Professional Fees
10.a.10 Other Professional Fees	\$ 57,980.05			Professional Fees
Line 10 a Total Disbursements to Receiver/Professionals		\$ 3,345,806.53		
10b Third-Party Litigation Expenses	\$ 42,160.00			
10c Asset Expenses	\$ 355,632.85			Condo Fees, Insurance Repairs, Maint & Utilities
10d Tax Payments	\$ 109,117.36			County Sales & Property
Total Disbursements for Receivership Ops.		\$ 3,852,716.74		
Line 11 Disbursements Related to Distribution Expenses				
Line 12 Disbursement to Court/Other	\$ 5,637,625.12			US Marshals Service
Line 13 Other	\$ 2,453.66			Cayman Registration Fee
Total Funds Disbursed - Total Lines 9 - 13		\$ 5,640,078.78	\$ 9,492,795.52	
Line 14 Ending Balance (as of 09/30/2022)			\$ 12,562,838.02	

The amount identified on Line 14 does not include approximately \$8 million currently held by the Department of Justice, which will be remitted to the Receiver and distributed through the claims process.

Line 15	Number of Claims	834
15a	No. of Claims Received Through The Date of The Interim Report Including all Ne Horizon Subclaims	0
15b	No. of Claims Received Since Inception of Estate	834
Line 16	Number of Claimants/Investors	TBD some claimants submitted multiple claims
16a	No. of Claimants/Investors Paid This Reporting period	0
16b	No. of Claimants/Investors Paid Since Inception of Estate	0

Receiver:

By: 
SignatureBurton W. Wiand, Receiver
Printed NameDate: 10/31/2022

Exhibit B

Account Name by Party or Affiliate	Account	Authorized Signer(s)	Bank	Account Type	Status	Still Frozen	Liquidated
13318 Lost Key Place, LLC	*2850	Michael Dacorta	Wells Fargo	Simple Business Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$490.97
4064 Founders Club Drive, LLC	*3975	Joseph S. Anile II; MaryAnne E. Anile	Wells Fargo	Business Choice Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$10,383.26
4064 Founders Club Drive, LLC	*1807	Joseph S. Anile II; MaryAnne E. Anile	Wells Fargo	Business Platinum Savings	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
444 Gulf of Mexico Drive, LLC	*3967	Michael Dacorta; Joseph S. Anile II	Wells Fargo	Simple Business Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$15,600.10
4Oaks, LLC	*2572	Joseph S. Anile II; MaryAnne E. Anile	Wells Fargo	Business Choice Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$30,910.45
6922 Lacantera Circle, LLC	*2805	Michael Dacorta	Wells Fargo	Simple Business Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$37,929.49
Bowling Green Capital Management	*7485	Joseph S. Anile II; MaryAnne E. Anile	Capital One	Small Business Rewards Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$6,173.59
Francisco Duran	*9152	Francisco Duran	JPMorgan Chase	Total Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$309.24
Francisco Duran	*0568	Francisco Duran; Lauren K Duran	JPMorgan Chase	Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$1,097.04
Francisco Duran	*1192	Francisco Duran	JPMorgan Chase	Total Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$4,174.69
Francisco Duran	*8083	Francisco Duran	M&I/BMO Harris	Checking	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
Francisco Duran	*9788	Francisco Duran	M&I/BMO Harris	Checking	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
Francisco Duran or Rebecca C. Duran	*2550	Francisco Duran; Rebecca C. Duran	SunTrust	Checking	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
John J. Haas	*0245	John J. Haas	TD Bank	Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$31,065.79
John J. Haas	*5029	John J. Haas	Jovia (f/k/a Nassau Educators Federal Credit Union)	Go Green Checking	Income Account	\$4,902.71	N/A
John J. Haas	TBD	John J. Haas	Equity Trust	IRA	TBD	\$174.66	\$0.00
John J. Haas; Lillian Haas	*2105	John J. Haas	TD Bank	Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$4,362.80
John J. Haas; Lillian Haas	*9201	John J. Haas	TD Bank	Savings	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$1,001.23
John J. Haas, Inc.	*2488	John J. Haas	TD Bank	TD Business Convenience Plus	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$517.83
John J. Haas	*1211	John J. Haas	Knights of Columbus Insurance	Cash Surrender Value	Frozen	\$38,969.30	\$0.00

Account Name by Party or Affiliate	Account	Authorized Signer(s)	Bank	Account Type	Status	Still Frozen	Liquidated
John J. Haas	*0715	John J. Haas	Knights of Columbus Insurance	Cash Surrender Value	Frozen	\$11,439.80	\$0.00
Joseph S. Anile II	*7857	Joseph S. Anile II	Regions	Savings	Disputed	\$5,000.75	\$0.00
Joseph S. Anile II	*8241	Joseph S. Anile II	Regions	Lifegreen Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$3,123.20
Lagoon Investments, Inc.	*1522	Michael Dacorta; Joseph S. Anile II.	Regions	Business Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$17,889.07
Mainstream Fund Services, Inc.	*1174	Denise DePaola; Michael Nolan	Citibank	Savings	Unfrozen by Agreement	\$0.00	\$0.00
Mainstream Fund Services, Inc.	*5606	Denise DePaola; Michael Nolan	Citibank	Checking	Unfrozen by Agreement	\$0.00	\$0.00
Mainstream Fund Services, Inc.	*0764	Denise DePaola; Michael Nolan	Citibank	Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$6,012,397.78
Michael DaCorta	*1424	Michael Dacorta	Wells Fargo	Everyday Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$751.54
Michael DaCorta	*0387	Michael Dacorta	AXA	Annuity Policy	Terminated 7/15/16	\$0.00	\$0.00
Michael DaCorta	TBD	Michael Dacorta	PNC	TBD	TBD	\$0.00	\$0.00
Michael DaCorta; Carolyn DaCorta	*0386	Michael Dacorta	People's United	TBD	TBD	\$0.00	\$0.00
Oasis Management, LLC	*9302	Michael Dacorta	Wells Fargo	Business Package Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$2,149,654.18
Oasis Management, LLC	*3887	Michael Dacorta	Wells Fargo	Market Rate Savings	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$605.33
Oasis Capital Management S.A.	*6058	TBD	British Caribbean Bank International	TBD	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
Oasis Capital Management S.A.	*1200	TBD	Belize Bank International, Ltd.	TBD	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
Oasis Global (Nevis) Ltd.	*9631	TBD	Bank of America	Busines Checking	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
Oasis Global FX Limited	*4622	Joseph S. Anile II	Choice Bank (Belize)	Liquidator Appointed	See Report	\$0.00	\$55,960.78
Oasis Global FX, S.A.	*0055	Joseph S. Anile II	Barclays Bank/ATC	Closed "Trading" Account	See Report	\$0.00	\$2,005,368.28
Oasis Global FX, S.A.	*5663	Joseph S. Anile II	Choice Bank (Belize)	TBD	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
Oasis Global FX, S.A.	*6059	Joseph S. Anile II	Heritage Bank	Deposit for Broker Activity	See Report	\$500,000	\$0.00
Raymond P. Montie	*1510	Raymond P. Montie	AXA/Equitable	401k Plan	Open	\$169,599.19	\$0.00
Raymond P. Montie	*8414	Raymond P. Montie	Federal Savings Bank; First SeaCoast Bank	Checking	New Income Account	\$51,973.91	N/A
Raymond P. Montie	*1574	Raymond P. Montie	Fidelity Investments	IRA Account	Open	\$5,883.49	\$0.00
Raymond P. Montie	*4500	Raymond P. Montie	Fidelity Investments	Investment Account	Underwater	-\$24.82	\$0.00
Raymond P. Montie	*2805	Raymond P. Montie	TD Bank	Premier Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$138,508.73

Account Name by Party or Affiliate	Account	Authorized Signer(s)	Bank	Account Type	Status	Still Frozen	Liquidated
Raymond P. Montie	*3802	Raymond P. Montie	TD Bank	Savings	Frozen	\$0.00	\$0.00
Raymond P. Montie	*2148	Raymond P. Montie	TD Bank	TD Beyond Checking; Old Income Account; Closed by TD Bank	Closed	\$0.00	N/A
Raymond P. Montie; Danielle TerraNova	*3934	Raymond P. Montie	TD Bank	Relationship Checking	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
RPM 7 LLC	*6068	Raymond P. Montie	TD Bank	Business Convenience Plus	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$2,395.63
RPM 7 LLC	*1952	Raymond P. Montie	TD Bank	Business Convenience Plus	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$7,834.46
RPM 7 LLC	*6076	Raymond P. Montie	TD Bank	TBD	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
RPM 7 LLC	*6430	Raymond P. Montie	TD Bank	TBD	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
RPM 7 LLC	*6638	Raymond P. Montie	TD Bank	TBD	Closed	\$0.00	\$0.00
Diamond BOA LLC	*0306	Raymond P. Montie	TD Bank	Business Convenience Plus	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$8,130.54
Goose Pond Consulting	*9658	Raymond P. Montie; Danielle TerraNova	NBT Bank	Free Business Checking	TBD	\$766.76	\$0.00
Roar of the Lion Fitness, LLC	*1396	Michael Dacorta; Andrew Dacorta	Wells Fargo	Business Choice Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$17,704.97
Satellite Holdings Company	*8808	John Haas	Wells Fargo	Market Rate Savings	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$500.42
Satellite Holdings Company	*5347	John Haas	Wells Fargo	General Operating Checking	Liquidated	\$0.00	\$127,921.13

Exhibit C

Property	Units	Estimated Value or Purchase Price	Lien	Status or Disposition	Actual Value or Sale Price
Defendant Anile/4064 Founders Club Drive					
2015 Mercedes Benz SLK 350	1	\$28,050.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Returned; Resold	\$23,000.00
2016 Mercedes Benz GLE 400	1	\$37,000.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold	\$31,027.50
100 Ounce Silver Bars	100	\$150,900.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Listed Price is for all Metals	\$657,382.25
One Ounce Gold Coins	200	\$255,320.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Listed Price is for all Metals	\$657,382.25
U.S. Currency	N/A	\$62,750.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; In USMS/FBI Custody	\$62,750.00
Quietsource 48KW Generator	1	\$28,017.00	\$0.00	Sold by Receiver	\$12,500.00
Pool Table	1	TBD	\$0.00	Receiver Seeking Return from Anile	TBD
Piano	1	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	Sold by Receiver	\$1,000.00
Jewelry	Misc.	\$60,749.00	\$0.00	Receiver Seeking Return from Anile	TBD
Bedroom Set	1	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	Sold by Receiver	\$1,000.00
Grandfather Clock	1	TBD	\$0.00	Receiver Seeking Return from Anile	TBD
Large Bird Cage	1	TBD	\$0.00	For Sale by Receiver; In Storage	TBD
Misc. Household Items and Furniture	59	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	Auctioned (Gross Sale Price)	\$17,875.00
Defendant DaCorta/13318 Lost Key Place/6922 Lacantera Circle					
2017 Maserati Ghibli S Q4	1	\$60,800.00	\$43,528.88	Forfeited; Abandoned After Further Investigation	\$0.00
2018 Land Rover Range Rover Velar	1	\$57,825.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold	\$48,462.00
2015 Land Rover Range Rover Evoque	1	\$25,100.00	\$26,129.29	Abandoned Due to Lack of Value Given Lien	\$0.00
100 Ounce Silver Bars	64	\$96,576.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Listed Price is for all Metals	\$657,382.25
\$1.00 Silver One Ounce Coins	1,500	\$22,635.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Listed Price is for all Metals	\$657,382.25
Credit Suisse One Ounce Gold Ingots	3	\$3,829.80	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Listed Price is for all Metals	\$657,382.25
APMEX.com One Ounce Silver Coins	5	\$75.45	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Listed Price is for all Metals	\$657,382.25
Lady Liberty \$50 Gold One Ounce Coins	7	\$8,629.80	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Listed Price is for all Metals	\$657,382.25
Lady Liberty \$50 Gold One Ounce Coins	40	\$48,000.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Listed Price is for all Metals	\$657,382.25
Lady Liberty \$1.00 Silver One Ounce Coins	120	\$2,400.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Listed Price is for all Metals	\$657,382.25
"Bitcoin" One Ounce Gold-Plated Coin	1	\$1.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; Sold; Listed Price is for all Metals	\$657,382.25
U.S. Currency	N/A	\$160,000.00	\$0.00	Forfeited; In USMS/FBI Custody	\$160,000.00
Handgun	1	\$517.00	\$0.00	Receiver Seeking Return from DaCorta	TBD
Coffee Table	1	\$200.00	\$0.00	Sold by Receiver	\$200.00
Televisions	2	\$200.00	\$0.00	Sold by Receiver	\$200.00
Safe	1	\$200.00	\$0.00	Sold by Receiver	\$200.00
Outdoor Speakers	2	\$150.00	\$0.00	Sold by Receiver	\$150.00

Pool Table Chairs	2	\$300.00	\$0.00 Sold by Receiver	\$300.00
Sauna	1	TBD	\$0.00 For Sale by Receiver; In Storage	TBD
Quietsource 48KW Generator	1	\$24,969.81	\$0.00 Not Delivered; Refund Pending	TBD
Misc. Household Items and Furniture	50	\$2,000.00	\$0.00 Auctioned (Gross Sale Price)	\$1,465.00

Defendant Duran/7312 Desert Ridge Glen

2018 Porsche 911 C4 Targa	1	\$113,375.00	\$90,898.75 Forfeited; Sold	\$104,902.50
2018 Mercedes Benz Convertible SL 450R	1	\$65,825.00	\$83,611.29 Abandoned Due to Lack of Value Given Lien	\$0.00
2019 Land Rover Range Rover Sport	1	\$0.00	\$0.00 Leased; Not Seized Due to Lack of Value	\$0.00
Swiss Watch	1	\$10,900.00	\$0.00 Receiver Seeking Return from Duran	TBD
Golf Cart	1	\$5,500.00	\$0.00 Sold by Receiver	\$4,750.00
Televisions	2	\$200.00	\$0.00 Sold by Receiver	\$200.00
Misc. Household Items and Furniture	28	\$1,000.00	\$0.00 Auctioned (Gross Sale Price)	\$2,160.00

Defendant Montie

1996 Mercedes Benz 500SL	1	\$2,167.00	\$0.00 Sold; Escrowed	\$10,500.00
2016 Toyota 4Runner	1	\$22,885.00	\$12,180.85 Disclosed in 8/30/19 Financial Affidavit	TBD
2009 South Bay Pontoon Boat	1	\$11,590.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 8/30/19 Financial Affidavit	TBD
Furniture Located in PA House	Misc.	TBD	\$0.00 Disclosed in 8/30/19 Financial Affidavit	TBD
Furniture Located in NH House	Misc.	TBD	\$0.00 Disclosed in 8/30/19 Financial Affidavit	TBD
Furniture Located in NY House	Misc.	\$0.00	\$0.00 Mostly Abandoned Due to Lack of Value	\$50.00
Standard Oil Company, Inc. Stock	60,606	TBD	\$0.00 Disclosed in 8/30/19 Financial Affidavit; Purchased for \$100,000 in 2015	TBD
Ounces of Silver	990	\$17,087.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 8/30/19 Financial Affidavit	TBD
Firearms	19	\$8,290.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 8/30/19 Financial Affidavit	TBD

Defendant Haas

2012 Mercedes Benz GLK 350 (black)	1	\$2,800.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 6/24/19 Financial Affidavit; Updated	TBD
2012 Mercedes Benz GLK 350 (silver)	1	\$10,000.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 6/24/19 Financial Affidavit; Updated	TBD
1966 Ford LTD (gold)	1	\$2,500.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 6/24/19 Financial Affidavit; Updated	TBD
1966 Ford LTD (green)	1	\$500.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 6/24/19 Financial Affidavit; Disposed	TBD
1959 GMC 100 Truck	1	\$6,000.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 6/24/19 Financial Affidavit; Repairs	TBD
2014 Ford Escape	1	\$12,000.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 6/24/19 Financial Affidavit; L. Haas	TBD
2013 Horton Trailer	1	\$400.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 6/24/19 Financial Affidavit; Updated	TBD
Household Furniture	Misc.	TBD	\$0.00 Disclosed in 6/24/19 Financial Affidavit	TBD
Auto Parts	Misc.	\$1,000.00	\$0.00 Disclosed in 6/24/19 Financial Affidavit; Varies	TBD

Relief Defendant 4Oaks, LLC (Anile)

2015 Ferrari California T	1	\$174,300.00	\$0.00 Forfeited; Sold	\$100,470.00
---------------------------	---	--------------	------------------------	--------------

Relief Defendant Roar of the Lion Fitness, LLC

Nutritional Supplement Capsules	11,247	\$0.00	\$0.00 Disposed - No Commercial Value	\$0.00
Promotional Yoga Mats and Hats	357	\$0.00	\$0.00 Donated to Charity	\$0.00
Nutritional Protein Powder	1805	\$0.00	\$0.00 Disposed - No Commercial Value	\$0.00
Nutritional "Pre-Workout" Powder	876	\$0.00	\$0.00 Disposed - No Commercial Value	\$0.00
Nutritional Creatine Powder	861	\$0.00	\$0.00 Disposed - No Commercial Value	\$0.00